IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA AT MELBOURNE COMMERCIAL AND EQUITY DIVISION COMMERCIAL COURT

LIST E

SCI 2009

IN THE MATTER OF TIMBERCORP SECURITIES LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION) ACN 092 311 469

TIMBERCORP SECURITIES LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)
(ACN 092 311 469) IN ITS CAPACITY AS RESPONSIBLE ENTITY
OF EACH OF THE MANAGED INVESTMENT SCHEMES LISTED IN
SCHEDULE 1 OF THE ORIGINATING PROCESS AND IN ITS CAPACITY
AS MANAGER OF THE UNREGISTERED MANAGED INVESTMENT
SCHEME LISTED IN SCHEDULE 2 OF THE ORIGINATING PROCESS
First Plaintiff

MARK ANTHONY KORDA

Second Plaintiff

LEANNE KYLIE CHESSER

Third Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE IDENTIFYING EXHIBIT

Date of document: Filed on behalf of:

4 December 2009 the Plaintiffs

Prepared by:

ARNOLD BLOCH LEIBLER
Lawyers and Advisers
Level 21
333 Collins Street
MELBOURNE 3000

Solicitor's Code: 54 DX 38455 Melbourne Tel: 9229 9999 Fax: 9229 9900 Ref: 01-1499489

(Leon Zwier - Izwier@abl.com.au/

Bridgette Toy-Cronin - btoycronin@abl.com.au)

This is the exhibit marked "MAK-4" now produced and shown to MARK ANTHONY KORDA at the

time of swearing his affidavit on 4 December 2009.

Before me:

Exhibit "MAK-4"

Transcript and Orders of Pagone J SCI 2009 9299

BRIDGET ELLEN SLOCUM
Arnold Bloch Leibler
Level 21, 333 Collins Street
Malbourne 3000
An Australian Legal Practitioner within the
meaning of the Legal Profession Act 2004

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA AT MELBOURNE COMMERCIAL AND EQUITY DIVISION COMMERCIAL COURT

LIST A S CI 2009 09299

IN THE MATTER OF TIMBERCORP SECURITIES LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION) and others (according to the schedule attached)

Plaintiffs

GENERAL FORM OF ORDER

JUDGE:

The Honourable Justice Pagone

DATE MADE:

29 September 2009

ORIGINATING PROCESS:

Filed on 29 September 2009

HOW OBTAINED:

Plaintiffs' amended originating process filed 30

September 2009

ATTENDANCE:

Mr L. Zwier, solicitor for the Plaintiffs.

Mr G. Bigmore of Her Majesty's Counsel, with Mr M.

Galvin of Counsel, for Mr M Fernon.

Mr L. Glick of Senior Counsel, with Ms S. Cipriano of Counsel, for the Commonwealth Bank of Australia.

OTHER MATTERS:

None

THE COURT ORDERS THAT:

- The Second and Third Plaintiffs are acting reasonably and appropriately and entering into, or procuring:
 - (a) the Sixth and Twelfth Plaintiffs;
 - (b) the Second and Fourth Plaintiffs in their capacity as liquidators of the Seventh, Eighth, Ninth and Tenth Plaintiffs;
 - (c) the Second, Fourth and Fifth Plaintiffs in their capacity as liquidators of the Eleventh Plaintiff; and
 - (d) the First Plaintiff as responsible entity of the managed investment schemes listed in Schedule 1 and 2 to this Order (Forestry Schemes),

to enter into and perform the Sale and Purchase Deed in general (including in the First Plaintiff's capacity as responsible entity and as agent and attorney of the Growers in the Forestry Schemes), including to terminate or surrender each relevant Grower sub-lease in accordance with the Sale and Purchase Deed exhibited as MAK-14 to the Second Affidavit of Mark Anthony Korda sworn on 30 September 2009.

- The Second and Third Plaintiffs are acting reasonably and appropriately in procuring the First Plaintiff as responsible entity of the Forestry Schemes to pay the Commonwealth Bank of Australia (CBA) from the proceeds of sale arising from the Sale and Purchase Deed such amount as is required to cause the CBA to either release or assign all of its securities over the Timbercorp Group Companies (as defined in the First Affidavit of Mark Anthony Korda sworn 29 September 2009).
- 2 Exhibits MAK-4 and MAK-12 to the First Affidavit of Mark Anthony Korda sworn 29 September 2009 and exhibit MAK-14 to the Second Affidavit of Mark Anthony Korda sworn on 30 September 2009 be kept confidential.

DATE AUTHENTICATED:

6 October 2009

MB 6/10/09

SCHEDULE OF PARTIES

TIMBERCORP SECURITIES LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION) (ACN 092 311 469)
IN ITS CAPACITY AS RESPONSIBLE ENTITY OF EACH OF THE
MANAGED INVESTMENTS SCHEMES LISTED IN SCHEDULE 1
AND IN ITS CAPACITY AS MANAGER OF THE UNREGISTERED
MANAGED INVESTMENTS SCHEME LISTED IN SCHEDULE 2
First Plaintiff

MARK ANTHONY KORDA

Second Plaintiff

LEANNE KYLIE CHESSER

Third Plaintiff

MARK FRANCIS XAVIER MENTHA

Fourth Plaintiff

CLIFFORD ROCKE

Fifth Plaintiff

TIMBERCORP LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)

Sixth Plaintiff

TIMBERCORP PLANTATIONS 2002 IAB PTY LTD (IN LIQUIDATION)

Seventh Plaintiff

TIMBERCORP PLANTATIONS PTY LTD (IN LIQUIDATION)

Eighth Plaintiff

TIMBERCORP LANDS PTY LTD (IN LIQUIDATION)

Ninth Plaintiff

TIMBERCORP PROPERTIES LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)

Tenth Plaintiff

TIMBERCORP FORESTRY PTY LTD (IN LIQUIDATION)

Eleventh Plaintiff

TIMBERCORP LOT INVESTMENTS PTY LTD (IN LIQUIDATION)

Twelfth Plaintiff



SCHEDULE 1

REGISTERED MANAGED INVESTMENT SCHEMES

- 1. 1997 Timbercorp Eucalypts Project (ARSN 112 309 234);
- 2. 1998 Timbercorp Eucalypts Project (ARSN 112 309 216);
- 3. 1999 Timbercorp Eucalypts Project (ARSN 085 827 872);
- 4. 2000 Timbercorp Eucalypts Project (ARSN 091 172 093);
- 5. 2001 Timbercorp Eucalypts Project (ARSN 094 392 000);
- 6. 2002 Timbercorp Eucalypts Project (ARSN 098 233 571);
- 7. 2003 Timbercorp Eucalypts Project (ARSN 103 183 446);
- 8. 2004 Timbercorp Eucalypts Project (ARSN 108 099 645);
- 9. 2004 Timbercorp Timberlot (Single Payment) Project (ARSN 108 336 830);
- 10. 2005 Timbercorp Timberlot (Single Payment) Project (ARSN 111 683 491); and
- 11. 2007/2008 Timbercorp Timberlot (Single Payment) Project (ARSN 122 510 981).

SCHEDULE 2

UNREGISTERED MANAGED INVESTMENT SCHEMES

1. 1999 Timbercorp Eucalypts Double Rotation Project (Private Offer) (Unregistered).



יו כותי	MICOD:	T 17177	\sim E	PROCEEDINGS	٦
1 17 1		1 10 1	1 1 17	- PRULE POPULATION 45	٠,

SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA

MELBOURNE

COMMERCIAL JURISDICTION

WEDNESDAY 30 SEPTEMBER 2009

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE PAGONE

In the matter of: TIMBERCORP

MR L ZWIER appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}$ G T BIGMORE QC appeared on behalf of Timbercorp Growers Group and Growers Reference Group.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}$ L GLICK SC with MS S CIPRIANO appeared on behalf of the Commonwealth Bank of Australia.

Victorian Transcription Services Pty Ltd. Tel: (03) 9670 4342

- 1 MR ZWIER: Your Honour, I appear on behalf of the plaintiffs.
- 2 HIS HONOUR: Mr Zwier.
- 3 MR BIGMORE: If it please Your Honour, I appear on behalf of
- 4 members of unincorporated bodies called the Timbercorp
- 5 Growers Group and the Growers Reference Group.
- 6 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 7 MR BIGMORE: And I hesitate to give Your Honour a list of
- names, but we certainly don't represent every grower in
- 9 relation to the forestry schemes.
- 10 HIS HONOUR: Well, do you represent the members of those
- 11 two groups, do you?
- 12 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 13 HIS HONOUR: And presumably you know who they are.
- 14 MR BIGMORE: Eventually, Your Honour, yes.
- 15 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 16 MR GLICK: Good morning, Your Honour, I appear with
- Ms Cipriano for the Commonwealth Bank of Australia.
- We are not a party, but we are a security holder, and
- 19 we thought we would - -
- 20 HIS HONOUR: You are affected.
- 21 MR GLICK: Affected, yes, Your Honour.
- 22 HIS HONOUR: Yes, thank you, Mr Glick.
- 23 MR ZWIER: Your Honour, thank you for accommodating us on
- short notice. As Your Honour might appreciate, the
- 25 Timbercorp liquidations are precariously balanced. I
- thought I might just before I opened give Your Honour a
- 27 little of the background. Your Honour may appreciate
- that the Timbercorp companies are all in liquidation.
- They have 700 leases of property which are in default.
- 30 There is insufficient funds in the liquidation to
- enable lease payments to be paid. Timbercorp

companies carry on horticultural and forestry projects. The horticultural projects are wasting with the effluxion of time without funds being expended, and the forestry assets also require funding to continue and funding to be injected urgently, otherwise landlords will move upon their rights and the growers may be severely prejudiced because some of the leases, external leases, have provisions that say that upon termination for non-payment of rent, the trees revert back to the landlords. And that's an issue which this court will be dealing with in the context of another matter concerning Plantation Land Limited.

Your Honour, the application this morning, commenced by originating process, is to seek a direction in advance of signing a sale and purchase deed, that the liquidators are justified in doing so, because there is some controversy about the proposed sale and purchase deed.

I should add, Your Honour, at the outset, that we may seek leave to amend the originating process because of an issue that's just arisen really a short time ago, Your Honour. I might just take you to the issue because it's relevant to the relief that's sought.

Currently the applicants are Timbercorp

Securities Limited and Timbercorp Limited, but there
are other parties who are proposed to be parties to the
sale and purchase deed, other companies in the
Timbercorp group, and that's referred to in the
affidavit of Mark Korda. The other parties, Your

Honour, are Timbercorp Plantations 2002 IAB Pty Ltd (In
liquidation); Timbercorp Plantations Pty Ltd (In

1	liquidation); Timbercorp Lands Pty Ltd (In
2	liquidation); Timbercorp Properties Limited (In
3	liquidation); Timbercorp Forestry Pty Ltd (In
4	liquidation); Timbercorp Lot Investments Pty Ltd (In
5	liquidation), as well as the liquidators. And that's
6	referred to in paragraph 10 of Mr Korda's first
7	affidavit.

Your Honour, those who are acting in the sale and purchase transaction for the liquidations from Corrs have drawn to my attention this morning, shortly before court, the provisions of section 477(2)(b). Section 477(2)(b) is in the provision of the Act dealing with powers of liquidators. It limits the powers of liquidators to enter into agreements which have obligations that go beyond three months, without approval of either the court or the committee of inspection.

Now, some of the companies which I have referred to do not have committees of inspection, so that in order to give effect to the contract the liquidators would in any event require to bring an application for approval for those entities to enter into the agreement in any event, and that will be a requirement of the purchaser.

So that what the liquidators had in mind, if the court were disposed to grant them leave to do so, is to amend the application to add as plaintiffs each of the entities referred to, and then on the basis of the material that's presently before the court, if the court were minded to make the direction, the liquidators would ask if the court would also make an

order under section 477(2)(b) in relation to the 1 additional parties so that there's no issue of power 2 for the liquidators to enter into the sale and purchase 3 deed. I apologise to our friends at the Bar table, it's 5 an issue which has really been brought to my attention 6 a very short time ago. Your Honour, the obligations which extend beyond 8 three months in the unexecuted sale and purchase deed 9 concern matters which need to be completed by a sunset 10 date of 31 December 2009. 11 HIS HONOUR: Yes. 12 MR ZWIER: There are certain warranties contained in clause 13 19.3 of the sale and purchase deed, and there are also 14 some issues concerning proceed sharing arrangements in 15 relation to the non-refundable deposit, which is 16 referred to in schedule 12 of the sale and purchase 17 deed which extend beyond the three months. 18 indebted to partners of Corrs for providing me 19 instructions in relation to those issues. 20 I can hand to Your Honour a copy of the sale and 21 purchase deed, the unexecuted document, which is a 22 confidential exhibit. 23 Well, is it an exhibit? HIS HONOUR: 24 It's an exhibit MR ZWIER: It's not yet before the court. 25 to Mr Korda's second affidavit. 26 Have I seen a second affidavit? HIS HONOUR: 27 MR ZWIER: No, I am going to take Your Honour to the material 28 shortly. 29 HIS HONOUR: Thank you. 30 MR ZWIER: In support of the application, there are two

1	affidavits of Mr Korda. The first was sworn by
2	Mr Korda yesterday, and the second was sworn by
3	Mr Korda today. The second affidavit deals
4	principally with two matters: one, presenting to Your
5	Honour the most recent iteration of the sale and
6	purchase deed as a confidential exhibit, and to update
7	Your Honour as to what occurred in the Federal Court
8	this morning before Justice Finkelstein.
9	HIS HONOUR: The second affidavit is over two pages and has
10	four paragraphs.
11	MR ZWIER: It's very brief, Your Honour.
12	HIS HONOUR: I don't think it says anything about
13	Justice Finkelstein.
14	MR ZWIER: I'm sorry, when I had spoken to the solicitors who
15	were preparing it, I had intended it would deal with
16	what occurred before Justice Finkelstein this morning
17	as well. I have been elsewhere engaged since, and
18	haven't had an opportunity to read it myself. But I
19	knew that it was going to put the sale and purchase
20	deed before Your Honour.
21	I might just for the moment update Your Honour or
22	what occurred before Justice Finkelstein this morning,
23	having mentioned it.
24	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
25	MR ZWIER: As Your Honour may have seen from the first
26	affidavit, Justice Finkelstein had previously extended
27	the date by which the liquidators had to decide whether
28	or not to disclaim the leases until today.
29	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
30	MR ZWIER: And he'd done so on the basis to give the
31	liquidators an opportunity to embark upon the very

process they have undertaken on in relation to forestry: a sale process, or recapitalisation process. And all of it has come to a head literally in the last few days. And what His Honour Justice Finkelstein this morning did, was extended the date to make a decision on disclaiming those leases until 3 November this year, that being one day after the proposed settlement date under the sale and purchase deed that has been presented to Your Honour.

So His Honour Justice Finkelstein has enabled the liquidators to preserve the status quo for a further period of time. What was drawn to His Honour's attention is that once the sale and purchase deed is signed, there will be a non-refundable \$15 million payment made by the purchaser which will enable the leases to be paid until 2 November, which is an important element of this transaction.

As Your Honour will appreciate from the material which I will take you to shortly, the leases are valuable leases to the growers and to the stakeholders. If the leases are lost, and the rights to trees on the properties are lost, then the growers as well as other stakeholders will suffer damage.

If I can perhaps hand up to Your Honour a copy of the order that His Honour Justice Finkelstein made this morning. It's not been sealed by the court, but a copy, as I understand, is on its way to the court to be sealed.

Your Honour, if I could just take you to the first affidavit of Mr Korda which was sworn yesterday, and take Your Honour through that.

```
Yes, I have read it.
      HIS HONOUR:
 1
      MR ZWIER: Your Honour, the issue that I thought I might just
 2
            briefly touch upon, one of the issues of controversy in
 3
            relation to the transaction, is obviously the
 4
            extinguishment of grower rights.
                                                As Your Honour will
 5
            see, the responsible entity of the various schemes
 6
            obtained a direction enabling the constitutions to be
 7
            amended to enable the responsible entity to extinguish
 R
            grower rights to consummate a transaction.
 9
                     Is it your understanding that the proceeding
      HIS HONOUR:
10
            this morning is going to be opposed?
11
      MR ZWIER: My understanding is that the growers have a
12
            concern about a couple of aspects of the transaction,
13
            that being one matter I want to touch upon.
14
            understanding also is that the CBA is here to represent
15
            its position because one aspect of the controversy with
16
            the growers concerns the CBA.
17
                     Well, the CBA is not a party, and hasn't
      HIS HONOUR:
18
            sought leave to be a party. So that all that Mr Glick
19
            was doing was explaining why it is that he has decided
20
            to sit at the Bar table rather than at the back of the
21
            court. Mr Bigmore similarly hasn't sought to be made a
22
            party, although his position is referred to in
23
            Mr Korda's affidavit of yesterday, particularly
24
            paragraph 43, so that at the moment there seems to be
25
            an application by you, a foreshadowed application that
26
            you want to extend the number of applicants, and at the
27
            moment I don't quite know what Mr Bigmore and Mr Glick
28
            want to do beyond being able to hear more clearly.
29
      MR ZWIER: Perhaps I can assist you a little, Your Honour.
30
            The liquidators in the Timbercorp group stand like the
31
```

1	umpire trying to umpire between the various
2	stakeholders. And the liquidators of the Timbercorp
3	group have a transaction which they recommend to every
4	stakeholder.
5	HIS HONOUR: I know what's going on. What I am really
6	asking about is do I need to clarify with Mr Bigmore,
7	and/or Mr Glick, whether they want to be heard on some
8	aspect, and if so what? You may know the answer to
9	that question, in which case I don't need to trouble
10	them, and you can keep batting on.
11	MR ZWIER: My understanding, and I am happy to be corrected
12	by Mr Bigmore if I am wrong, is that the concern the
13	growers have is not with the overall transaction, their
14	concern is that the payment that has been made to the
15	CBA is unfair; that is, the CBA are going to be paid an
16	amount of money from the sale proceeds which exceeds
17	the value of the security that they have taken over the
18	land. In other words, the land component of the
19	transaction, I think from memory is about \$132 million.
20	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
21	MR ZWIER: And what they are going to be taking at settlement
22	is about \$25 million more than that, and so the growers
23	say that's unfair because it's really coming out of the
24	proportion that comes that should go to us for our
25	trees.
26	HIS HONOUR: Well, although Mr Bigmore may not know who all
27	of his clients are, he presumably knows who some of
28	them are, and at the moment none of them seem to be
29	parties to this proceeding.
30	MR ZWIER: No, they are not joined to the proceeding.
31	HIS HONOUR: So far he hasn't asked for leave to be heard,

1	all he has done is inform me that he is here and who he
2	represents. Now, I am perfectly happy to keep batting
3	on listening to you, but it would be most disruptive if
4	at some point I am going to be told that there's some
5	application to be joined as a party, and that there may
6	be some opposition.
7	MR ZWIER: Well, Your Honour, it might be convenient to hear
8	from the other parties as to where they stand.
9	HIS HONOUR: All right, well, let's do it in reverse order.
10	Mr Glick, I assume that you are not seeking to be
11	joined as a party, you are perfectly happy for this to
12	go through and to get your money.
13	MR GLICK: We are, Your Honour. And we were here really
14	only to announce an interest as a secured creditor, and
15	Mr Zwier has put it accurately, of course, and the
16	affidavit, paragraphs 34, 35, 36 down to 43,
17	substantially accurately represent the position of the
18	Commonwealth Bank. If Your Honour pleases.
19	HIS HONOUR: Yes. And I also assume that I am right that
20	if Mr Bigmore seeks leave to upset the apple cart, you
21	might then wish to be a party.
22	MR GLICK: That's so, Your Honour. So we are really a
23	reaction to see what the growers do.
24	HIS HONOUR: Yes. Well, Mr Bigmore?
25	MR BIGMORE: Thank you, Your Honour. We do seek to be heard
26	under order 2.13. Our position is that from what we
27	understand of the arrangement that's tentatively being
28	proposed by Mr Zwier to be entered into with the
29	purchaser, the allocation of \$197.7 million in respect
30	of growers, and \$132 million odd in respect of land
31	rights, is acceptable. So the groups that represent

- the various growers have indicated, and they instruct
- 2 me, that that element of the transaction is
- 3 satisfactory. However, I certainly haven't seen the
- 4 sale and purchase deed, so I am not in a position to
- 5 look at the detail.
- 6 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 7 MR BIGMORE: I don't think Your Honour has either.
- 8 HIS HONOUR: Well, it's in front of me now.
- 9 MR BIGMORE: It's a confidential exhibit number 14, and we
- 10 don't have a copy of it.
- 11 HIS HONOUR: No. I do, though.
- 12 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 13 HIS HONOUR: Now, under the order that you seek to be
- heard, what is it that you want to be heard as?
- MR BIGMORE: As representing some growers.
- 16 HIS HONOUR: But do you wish to be made a party?
- 17 MR BIGMORE: No.
- 18 HIS HONOUR: So you wish to be heard as simply making
- submissions without participating in, for example, the
- 20 evidence?
- 21 MR BIGMORE: That would be so, yes.
- 22 HIS HONOUR: Yes, all right.
- 23 MR BIGMORE: Yes, things may change as we go, of course,
- 24 depending on what happens. It's very hard to
- 25 participate in the evidence or decide not to
- 26 participate in the evidence when one doesn't know what
- the documents actually contain. Equally we don't know
- the detail of the arrangement that the Commonwealth
- 29 Bank and the liquidator would enter into, we only have
- 30 the affidavit description of it, which gives us enough
- idea to be able to say that we oppose it.

- 1 HIS HONOUR: You oppose what?
- 2 MR BIGMORE: At the moment we have a situation where the
- 3 Commonwealth bank says it will not discharge its
- 4 mortgages over the land unless it's paid the whole \$150
- 5 million odd.
- 6 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 7 MR BIGMORE: Therefore whichever entity it is that the
- 8 liquidator would, or entities that would be the
- g transferors of the titles to the land, are all being
- 10 controlled by Mr Korda, would not be able to complete a
- 11 sale to any purchaser.
- 12 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- MR BIGMORE: Presumably the sale and purchase agreement
- 14 provides that clear title must be given.
- 15 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- MR BIGMORE: I assume all those things, it seems highly
- 17 likely.
- 18 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 19 MR BIGMORE: That being the case - -
- 20 HIS HONOUR: You are going to come around to answer the
- 21 question, I take it?
- 22 MR BIGMORE: Yes, I am, Your Honour. The growers say - -
- 23 HIS HONOUR: No, no, Mr Bigmore, I need to get into my head
- the content of what you said. You said that you
- oppose it. All I am asking you is give me content:
- what is the "it" that you are opposing?
- 27 MR BIGMORE: The "it" is the arrangement described in the
- affidavit at paragraphs 37-43, between the liquidator
- 29 and the Commonwealth Bank.
- 30 HIS HONOUR: And that opposition is simply for you to tell
- me that you oppose it, or do you want to oppose it by

```
1 material?
```

- 2 MR BIGMORE: We don't need material. The material that -
- 3 well, it depends to a certain extent on the level of
- 4 background that's in the existing exhibits. It may be
- 5 that there's not quite enough to fully inform Your
- 6 Honour of the background.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: You need to focus on this point: you have got
- 8 until 1 o'clock, I don't mean you, the proceeding has
- 9 got until 1 o'clock. I am trying to work out whether
- in the time available we are going to be able to make
- something meaningful, or whether in view of the
- position that you may or may not have, that's going to
- 13 be a pointless exercise.
- 14 MR BIGMORE: I didn't know we only had until 1 o'clock. If
- we only have until 1 o'clock I would respectfully
- submit that it's a pointless exercise. Because one of
- the problems that we have is we don't know the detail.
- 18 It may be that there is a - -
- 19 HIS HONOUR: That might make it a "pointful" exercise.
- 20 MR BIGMORE: Yes. Your Honour, where we are coming from in
- 21 relation to the - -
- 22 HIS HONOUR: You see, you don't want to be a party, I
- understand that, you don't want to rely upon material,
- I understand that. At the moment it looks as though
- Mr Zwier has a pretty clear run, and all you want to do
- is let me know that you are unhappy. Well, I know
- that.
- 28 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 29 HIS HONOUR: And on that basis it seems as though we might
- 30 be able to accommodate it all in the short space. If,
- 31 however, what you want to do is you want access to

1	material, or want to make submission about material
2	that you currently don't have, then there's no point
3	even beginning the process today because there will be
4	a big song and dance about it. It all depends upon
5	you knowing what you want to do.
6	MR BIGMORE: My instructions are clear, this much I can tell
7	Your Honour: we are not in a position to agree on
8	behalf of growers generally, and we disagree on behalf
9	of the growers we do represent, with a proposal where
10	their existing rights, which we would say is a right to
11	receive the \$197.7 million, or to have that amount
12	credited to the agency account under the constitutions.
13	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
14	MR BIGMORE: Is being compromised in a way where they would
15	end up with assigned rights from the Commonwealth Bank
16	where we don't even know what those rights are, or the
17	prospects of realisation might be.
18	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
19	MR BIGMORE: Bearing in mind that the growers that I am
20	speaking about globally, not just the ones that we
21	represent today, are the growers who are the members of
22	the forestry schemes. The alternative security is
23	over land owned by Olive Land, which is another
24	Timbercorp entity, and the growers in respect of the
25	olive schemes are some of the same people, also
26	different people, and no doubt in different interests
27	in any event. So
28	HIS HONOUR: Yes, well, it's all very interesting to know
29	about the olive people and different people. My focus
30	is a different one, and that is it may be that your
	instructions are, make sure the court knows that we are

```
1
           not happy about this and we oppose it, end of story.
2
           In which case you have done your duty and we can go on
3
           to hear the matter.
                                 Your instructions may be, we are
           so opposed about this that we want to have access to
           material and put material before the court, and there
5
           isn't enough time to do it today. That's a different
7
          proposition.
                          I can't know what your instructions are,
           only you can.
8
    MR BIGMORE: Yes.
```

9

HIS HONOUR: If they are the former, Mr Zwier should get 10 back on his feet and start telling me the proceedings, 11 12 and I am informed, as I was already informed, because the affidavit of Mr Korda quite properly made clear 13 your clients' unhappiness about the way things were 14 being, unsurprisingly. 15

MR BIGMORE: Yes. 16

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

But I can't know your instructions, only you 17 HIS HONOUR: can know those instructions, and if they are the 18 latter, then even if you had the whole day it probably 19 wouldn't be sufficient for you. 20

MR BIGMORE: I think that's probably right. instructions are certainly the latter. There's another aspect that I wanted to raise that doesn't appear to be before Your Honour, and that is that one of the landlords in relation to the forestry schemes, Plantation Land, has terminated its lease or leases, I am not sure of all the facts of this, but there is a proceeding in the court by our learned friend Mr Zwier's client for relief against forfeiture of that lease.

HIS HONOUR: Yes. 31

Τ.	MR BIGMORE: Iffac proceeding would appear to, from my
2	instructions would appear to overlap in some way with
3	the sale and purchase deed, because on our analysis
4	something like 20 or \$30 million of the \$197.7 million
5	would be, as it were, a windfall gain to Plantation
6	Land, which is an arm's length entity from Timbercorp
7	and growers, of course. And if that amount somehow
8	comes off the \$197.7 million, there are serious issues
9	because there are particular growers in relation to
10	earlier schemes who would be directly affected by that,
11	and indeed our instructions at the moment are that
12	notwithstanding that the liquidators on behalf of the
13	intermediate tenant have made an application for relief
14	against forfeiture, it would seem appropriate for
15	growers, or at least a grower, to get the ball moving,
16	make an application for relief against forfeiture.
17	Now, I understand there is a proceeding pending
18	in relation to that, and I don't have too many details
19	about it. But if that is the case, then title cannot
20	be made pursuant to the sale and purchase deed, as we
21	understand it, unless and until that proceeding is
22	determined.
23	HIS HONOUR: Yes, all right. Well, Mr Zwier, back to you.
24	It sounds as though you might be better informed now
25	than you were when you started 20 minutes ago.
26	MR ZWIER: I am, Your Honour. And, Your Honour, I want to
27	bring it to commercial reality: the most important
28	aspect of this application is keeping the buyer happy
29	and getting the transaction completed.
30	HIS HONOUR: I follow that.
31	MR ZWIER: If the buyer falls over, then there is going to be

1	Armageddon. There will be competing claims from
2	everyone, there will be landlords moving on their
3	leases; it's going to be a mess the likes of which the
4	court has not seen before in the context of managed
5	investment schemes adversely affecting somewhere
6	between 10 and 15,000 people.
7	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
8	MR ZWIER: And affecting secured creditors and unsecured
9	creditors.
10	HIS HONOUR: Yes, a veritable tsunami in the forest, I
11	understand that.
12	MR ZWIER: Thank you, Your Honour.
13	HIS HONOUR: Now, let's get, however, to the obligations
14	that I have as a judge.
15	MR ZWIER: Can I deal with the two points Mr Bigmore has
16	made, and I will deal with them in reverse order. The
17	issue with PLL is an important issue. The relief
18	application has been dealt with in the context of the
19	document Your Honour has in this way: the trees on the
20	PLL land have been valued at \$22 million. If the
21	liquidators are able to obtain relief from this court,
22	then the trees will pass to the purchaser and the
23	consideration will flow back to the growers. If they
24	are unable to do so, then that \$22 million will be
25	deducted, that's the value of the trees, and I don't
26	think there's an issue about how that value has been
27	calculated in terms of the growers and us; in other
28	words, it's a fair calculation.
29	The key to the relief application is to have a
30	viable respectable solvent taker of the lease. If we
31	do not consummate the transaction before we apply for

1	relief, we may be foredoomed to fail in relation to the
2	relief because it will be a company in liquidation with
3	no money seeking relief from forfeiture, or some
4	growers saying they will want relief for certain parts
5	of it, and that application will be mired in a mess as
6	well. It won't a tsunami, it will be a little wave.
7	But Your Honour will appreciate that the key to
8	protecting the growers' interest is to get the
9	direction and the approvals today to assist my friends
10	in relation to the relief application.
11	HIS HONOUR: All this sounds wonderful, my only concern is
12	what happens where after I have heard you Mr Bigmore
13	gets up again and says, as I apprehend he is now going
14	to do, "Well, it's all very well, but the court can't
15	make a decision against the interests of somebody
16	without giving that person an opportunity to be heard."
17	What do I do then?
18	MR ZWIER: Well, I haven't read what Justice White did in New
19	South Wales in relation to Macquarie Airport, but I
20	think where the party came along saying they wanted to
21	postpone a meeting or an event, the court asked that
22	party whether it was willing to provide an undertaking
23	as to damages, which is unlimited in nature, and if a
24	respectable solvent viable party is prepared to provide
25	an undertaking as to damages, then I would be saying to
26	Your Honour that Your Honour ought to be minded in
27	those circumstances to adjourn it, to enable them to do
28	so. The liquidators do not want to jeopardise this
29	transaction, and it will jeopardise the transaction
30	otherwise.

31

And if these people who Mr Bigmore represents are

1	respectable, solvent and viable, they will rush to Your
2	Honour with an undertaking as to damages as the price
3	to pay for more time. And otherwise Your Honour
4	shouldn't entertain an application to put this matter
5	off, given the urgency and the impact on creditors at
6	large.
7	HIS HONOUR: I don't think at the moment there's any
8	application to put it off. I think at the moment the
9	application is, if there is one, that I may be told
10	that I am not in a position to answer the question that
11	you wish me to answer because a party who wishes to be
12	heard today can't be heard today because they haven't
13	been given the information from the moving party in
14	order to deal with it today. The problem might be
15	yours rather than theirs.
16	MR ZWIER: Well, Your Honour, let me address it then slightly
17	differently. When the orders were obtained from
18	Justice Finkelstein to amend the constitution, part of
19	the process of amending the constitution was to keep
20	Mr Fernon and his firm, and those he represents, fully
21	apprised of developments; that is fully apprised of the
22	sale process, the bidders from A through to G or
23	whatever the letters are, to keep them fully informed
24	of the process all the way through so this would never
25	come to them as a shock at the last moment. And, Your
26	Honour, it's not rocket science for a secured creditor
27	to say "I am not going to release my security unless I
28	am paid out in full." That has always been on the
29	cards.
30	And as the affidavit makes clear, the CBA has not
31	varied from that position from day one, despite the

best efforts of the liquidator to get CBA to do so.

CBA will say, acting in its own best interests, that

unless we are paid out we are not prepared to assign

securities or provide title. And as Mr Bigmore fairly

conceded, again it's fairly obvious that a purchaser is

not going to buy unless the title is clear.

The arrangement that Mr Korda has proposed in relation to procuring the repayment of the shortfall as defined in the affidavit, is just a purely pragmatic commercial sensible arrangement. But what he also say, Your Honour, and Your Honour should be aware of this, is that he says "Even if I couldn't get back that \$25.5 million shortfall, the deal's a no brainer. would be recommending to do it anyway, because it is so much better than what else is out there, that we ought to be doing it. There's certainty, it's not The one condition which is conditioned upon finance." outstanding is FIRB approval, but it is likely to be forthcoming, and therefore what Mr Korda says is "Even if this arrangement is difficult or not perfect, it's commercially a sensible way to go forward."

HIS HONOUR: Mr Zwier, you are falling back into the path that you find an open door so that you are pushing against something that doesn't exist. I understand Mr Korda's evidence, I have read it. The point is only one of whether the process that's about to be undertaken, given Mr Bigmore's position, is one that will be frustrated; frustrated because a court might in certain circumstances say "Well, all I have got is one side. It sounds pretty good, but I haven't heard the other."

- In any event, we'd better not waste any more
- 2 time. The clock is ticking, and I have read the
- affidavit, and the second affidavit is sufficiently
- 4 short that I have read that too.
- 5 MR ZWIER: Thank you, Your Honour. Your Honour, I don't
- 6 know if the court would be assisted by Mr Korda giving
- 7 any evidence on any issues that Your Honour thought
- 8 required amplification.
- 9 HIS HONOUR: I don't think that I have anything that I need
- 10 clarification about so far as evidence is concerned, so
- I won't be making such a request.
- 12 MR ZWIER: Your Honour, the - -
- 13 HIS HONOUR: Whilst you are on your feet, it might be
- 14 desirable for somebody from your office to be preparing
- 15 the form of application that you foreshadowed about the
- joinder of additional parties, so that the court file
- is prepared. You have got that, have you?
- 18 MR ZWIER: No, Your Honour, I will cause that to be prepared
- 19 now and hopefully delivered to the court.
- 20 HIS HONOUR: Hopefully before we finish in the morning.
- 21 MR ZWIER: Yes, Your Honour.
- 22 Your Honour, if I could just hand up to Your
- 23 Honour draft orders that were prepared before we were
- enlivened to the issue concerning section 447(2)B.
- 25 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 26 MR ZWIER: Your Honour, what the liquidators are seeking
- 27 today is a direction - -
- 28 HIS HONOUR: Don't I have this?
- 29 MR ZWIER: No, Your Honour, there's an additional paragraph
- added, paragraph 2, from the originating process.
- 31 HIS HONOUR: I see.

- 1 MR ZWIER: Directed specifically to the issue of the
- 2 Commonwealth Bank. I should clarify one issue in
- 3 relation to Mr Korda's affidavit and the Commonwealth
- Bank too, and it is this: I think, Your Honour, in
- 5 paragraph 40 there's reference to, in his first
- affidavit, to cross-collateralisation of the various
- 7 facilities.
- 8 HIS HONOUR: Yes, there is.
- 9 MR ZWIER: Mr Korda would wish to correct that to say that
- there are sufficient securities to enable the CBA to be
- paid in full. There may be an issue in relation to
- the extent of the cross-collateralisation, it's one of
- which I am not familiar, but I am told that on any
- scenario by virtue of the various mortgages that the
- CBA has, the CBA would be paid out its debt in full,
- and therefore I should correct paragraph 40, but draw
- to your attention Mr Korda's instructions I am told
- 18 it's 36.
- 19 HIS HONOUR: Well, 36 talks about cross-collateralisation.
- 20 MR ZWIER: Yes, I am sorry, it's 36.
- 21 HIS HONOUR: So are you saying that paragraph 36 is wrong?
- 22 MR ZWIER: It's incorrect. It should be that there is
- sufficient securities to enable the debt to be paid in
- 24 full.
- 25 HIS HONOUR: Well, I am happy to delete paragraph 36, but
- its deletion means there's an absence of evidence. So
- I hate to do this in a painful way, but you will have
- 28 to call him to give evidence.
- 29 MR ZWIER: Okay, well, I will need to call Mr Korda, Your
- 30 Honour.
- 31 <MARK ANTHONY KORDA, sworn and examined:

- 1 MR ZWIER: Mr Korda, could you please tell the court your
- full name, work address and occupation?---Mark Anthony
- 3 Korda, 333 Collins Street, registered liquidator.
- 4 Mr Korda, could you please explain to His Honour a little
- 5 about the nature of the securities the CBA has which
- 6 would enable the CBA to be repaid the amount which is
- 7 sought to be repaid from the proceeds of the
- 8 sale?---So, Your Honour, there's been quite a number of
- 9 banks involved, and the CBA's position has security
- 10 over both the forestry assets and the olive assets, and
- it's colloquially I guess referred to as
- 12 cross-collateralisation. Our legal advice from Corrs
- who represent the land owning companies, Arnold Bloch
- Leibler represent the responsible entity, is that in
- 15 fact the situation, rather than call it
- 16 cross-collateralisation, is the CBA has various
- mortgages, and it also has various mortgages which are
- 18 all money mortgages, and our legal advice is that on
- the sale of assets they would be repaid in full.
- I have got no further questions, Your Honour.
- 21 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 22 MR BIGMORE: Since Mr Korda is there, I wonder if I could ask
- 23 a question to try and clarify that?
- 24 HIS HONOUR: Well, I am not sure that you are -
- Mr Bigmore, you have got to do this according to the
- 26 book. You can apply for leave to be involved in the
- 27 proceeding, but you have got to be clear about the
- 28 basis upon which you are seeking the leave, and the
- 29 consequences of doing so.
- 30 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 31 HIS HONOUR: Now, what do you want to do?

- 1 MR BIGMORE: Your Honour, we are applying for leave under
- order 2.13 to be heard. In other cases under that
- 3 rule I have observed that participation in the
- 4 cross-examination of witnesses has occurred; I have
- 5 never seen a case where there's been a
- 6 cross-examination as to credit or anything, I am not
- 7 proposing that, I am just proposing cross-examination
- 8 to elaborate.
- 9 HIS HONOUR: Let's look at the rule. Mr Korda, if you
- wouldn't mind staying where you are for the minute.
- 11 Which chapter is it in?
- MR BIGMORE: Chapter 5, the Corporations Rule 2.13.
- 13 HIS HONOUR: Now, order 2.13 may permit me to grant leave
- to a person who claims to be a creditor to be heard in
- a proceeding, without becoming a party.
- 16 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 17 HIS HONOUR: Now, is that what you are seeking?
- 18 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 19 HIS HONOUR: And who is it that I am giving that leave to?
- 20 MR BIGMORE: A grower. I suppose I need only mention one of
- 21 the growers.
- 22 HIS HONOUR: I need a human, I think.
- 23 MR BIGMORE: Yes, you need a human. In all the other cases
- we have had a human. We can have Mr Fernon on this
- occasion, my instructor, which might be the most
- 26 convenient human seeing he is actually here.
- 27 HIS HONOUR: And what's his name?
- 28 MR BIGMORE: Michael Fernon.
- 29 HIS HONOUR: And do we need any more particulars and
- 30 details like an address?
- 31 MR BIGMORE: Clarendons Lawyers, the address of which is

- level 17, Rialto North Tower.
- 2 HIS HONOUR: Do you have a piece of paper, perhaps a
- 3 business card? Does your client have a business card?
- 4 MR BIGMORE: Would that cover the undertaking?
- 5 HIS HONOUR: I don't think a credit card is necessary, but
- 6 - -
- 7 MR BIGMORE: No, no, it's unusual, a solicitor, but I will
- 8 have that - -
- 9 HIS HONOUR: No, give it to me again. Michael Fernon.
- 10 MR BIGMORE: Michael Fernon, of Clarendon Lawyers, level 17,
- 11 Rialto North Tower, Melbourne, who is a grower in one
- of the schemes.
- 13 HIS HONOUR: Well, he needs to be a creditor. Is he a
- 14 creditor?
- 15 MR BIGMORE: The growers claim that for breach the - -
- 16 HIS HONOUR: I can give leave to a creditor, a contributory
- or officer of a corporation, is he one of those?
- 18 Otherwise it's an officer of a creditor, a contributory
- or a corporation, or another interested person.
- 20 MR BIGMORE: We are certainly an interested person.
- 21 HIS HONOUR: Well, you say certainly. He is interested
- 22 because of?
- 23 MR BIGMORE: Because he is a member of a scheme, one of the
- 24 forestry schemes referred to in the proceeding.
- 25 HIS HONOUR: All right. Mr Zwier, are you content with
- 26 all of that?
- 27 MR ZWIER: Yes, Your Honour.
- 28 HIS HONOUR: All right. Well, I will grant leave to
- 29 Michael Fernon of Clarendon Lawyers, level 17, Rialto
- North Tower in Melbourne to be heard in this proceeding
- without becoming a party as an interested person.

- 1 MR BIGMORE: Thank you, Your Honour. I wonder if I could
- 2 just clarify a couple of things in relation to the
- 3 Commonwealth Bank securities?
- 4 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 5 MR BIGMORE: Thank you, Your Honour.
- 6 < CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR BIGMORE:
- 7 Mr Korda, in relation to the almond schemes, I have seen a
- 8 number of deeds of covenants and of documents like that
- 9 with the ANZ Bank and the Bank of Scotland, but are
- there documents like that in relation to the
- 11 Commonwealth Bank?---I can't recall, I would have to
- defer to my lawyers.
- 13 All right. You yourself haven't seen any documents relating
- to the recognition by the bank of the position of
- growers?---Not relating to the Commonwealth Bank
- securities, no.
- I take it that in relation to the forestry schemes, the
- Commonwealth Bank lent about \$89 million, is that the
- case?---I think that the figure is approximately 83.
- 20 83?---Yes.
- In relation to the olive schemes how much was lent by the
- 22 Commonwealth Bank?---Approximately 50.
- 23 And the bank is now owed a total of 152?---Correct.
- 24 So is the difference interest?---There will be interest,
- costs, also they are owed money under a swaps facility,
- and a treasury facility, various other facilities.
- 27 And those facilities, have they got anything to do with the
- land on which were those facilities used to develop
- the land on which the schemes are conducted?---I don't
- 30 believe so.
- 31 So that would be general accommodation of the Timbercorp

- group?---Yes, so they are facilities to various of the
- 2 Timbercorp groups that are either secured by specific
- 3 mortgages or money mortgages.
- 4 And in the cross-collateralisation process, Timbercorp
- 5 Securities Limited has made itself as liable as anybody
- else in the group, hasn't it?---Could you say that
- 7 again?
- 8 Timbercorp Securities Limited is one of the
- 9 cross-collateralising mortgagors, is that
- 10 right?---Well, Timbercorp I will just get the right
- 11 entity, Timbercorp Securities Limited is the
- 12 responsible entity, and my understanding is it has
- given no mortgages to the Commonwealth Bank.
- 14 So any scheme property in respect of which Timbercorp
- 15 Securities Limited is the responsible entity has not
- been charged to the bank in any way, has it? --- That's
- 17 correct.
- 18 And the scheme property includes the trees, doesn't
- it?---Well, there's a lot of debate what scheme
- 20 property is, but let's say yes, it includes the trees.
- 21 Yes. Yes, I understand. No further questions, if Your
- Honour pleases.
- 23 HIS HONOUR: Yes, thank you. Yes, thank you, you are free
- 24 to go.
- 25 <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW).</pre>
- 26 MR ZWIER: Your Honour, in the document I handed up a short
- time ago there was an additional paragraph, an
- additional direction that the liquidators were seeking.
- In essence it's a direction that we thought we ought to
- seek from Your Honour this morning in light of the
- 31 controversy created concerning the payment for the

Commonwealth Bank of Australia. As Your Honour has heard from Mr Korda and is aware from reading the affidavit, if the Commonwealth Bank of Australia is not paid out in full it won't release its securities. It's a condition precedent to the sale and purchase deed that free and clear title be passed, and the transaction can't be completed.

And, Your Honour, because of the controversy that's been created by the growers in relation to that one issue, the liquidators thought that they would seek an additional direction concerning the making of the payment to the Commonwealth Bank lest someone might seek to hold them accountable for having done so at the settlement.

I should amplify for Your Honour that obviously once the transaction settles, there will be a great deal of work to be undertaken to determine who will receive what amount of money and when, and that will be a separate issue. In the absence of reaching some agreement with the interested parties, it may require a further application to be made to the court for a direction as to how to dispose of the proceeds, because trees have different values according to their years and weight, and different scheme members will have different rights, and certainly if we can't fix the Plantation Land issue, then of course there will be other issues that will arise about adjusting for that \$22 million.

This is not dealing with how the proceeds were distributed, we are only seeking a direction in relation to the making of the payment to the

1	Commonwealth Bank so to clear the way for settlement to
2	take place should it be necessary to do so.
3	Your Honour, if I could just take you briefly to
4	the authorities on when the court ought to make
5	directions. If I could take Your Honour to one of the
6	Ansett cases, I think it's reported 40 Australian
7	Companies and Securities Reports at page 433. It's a
8	decision of Justice Goldberg. I understand a folder
9	of the cases was filed this morning.
10	HIS HONOUR: I haven't seen them.
11	MR ZWIER: I think it's tab 2 in that folder, Your Honour.
12	It's the Ansett decision. If I could just take you to
13	paragraph 44 on page 444.
14	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
15	MR ZWIER: "When liquidators and administrators seek
16	directions from the court in relation to any decision
17	they have made (reads) fair disclosure of all
18	relevant facts and circumstances to the court." His
19	Honour then cites a passage of Justice McLelland in Re
20	G B Nathan & Co, which sets out some of the historical
21	antecedents, and His Honour observes in that paragraph:
22	"It is a question of making full and fair disclosure to
23	the court of material facts (reads) for an
24	alleged breach of duty which might be brought against
25	them."
26	Your Honour will appreciate that what the
. 27	liquidators are seeking to do is to extinguish the
28	rights of growers of the forestry plantations, remove
29	any proprietary claims they might have so they can pass
30	to the purchaser free title, and those who are
31	represented today in court are not all of the growers,

there may be some growers who aren't happy about it, but Your Honour will appreciate they have undertaken a robust sale process, they have a well positioned purchaser, but it is nonetheless legally controversial to extinguish rights of third parties, particularly in circumstances where not every third party can be heard.

Your Honour, if I could take you also to the decision of Justice Finkelstein in Re Pasminco, which is reported at 49 Australian Companies and Securities Reports at page 470. I think it's tab 4 in the cases that Your Honour has. If I could take you to the bottom of page 471, marked in paragraph 2 of the judgment of His Honour Justice Finkelstein: "The power of the court to direct an administrator to act or refrain from acting ... (reads) ... how he should exercise the discretionary power."

Then later on at paragraph 7 of His Honour's judgment on page 473: "I have referred to the trustee cases because it is appropriate to ... (reads) ... under section 447D", and he quotes Re G B Nathan Co Pty Ltd (in liq), which I think was referred to in Justice Goldberg's earlier decision. "The court's power to give directions to liquidators and administrators maybe wider than for trustees ... (reads) ... should be interpreted as widely as possible to give effect to that intention."

Your Honour, the only other passage I wanted to take you to is one from Re J W Murphy & P C Allen; Re BPTC Ltd (in liq), which is reported in 19 Australian Companies and Securities Reporter page 569. There's a passage at the bottom of page 570: "It is to be

emphasised that an application for directions under the former provisions is ... (reads) ... rights of persons external to the winding up."

Then there's a passage of Justice Northrop from ASC v. Melbourne Asset Management Nominees referred to:
"Justice Northrop expressed the view that section
479(3) of the Corporations Law did empower the court to make binding orders in nature of judgments determining rights. That view expressed by His Honour appears to me, with respect, to be founded on misconception of the directions."

So that we are not seeking an ultimate determination of substantive rights, but a direction that the liquidators are justified in entering into an agreement the effect of which will extinguish rights. And of course Your Honour will appreciate that the purchaser can get very limited warranties because the companies are in liquidation, and it will certainly provide some comfort to a purchaser to know that the liquidator has obtained the protection of the court for the decision to extinguish the rights.

Your Honour, I am not sure that I can take the matter much further than to say that the material demonstrates the urgency, the liquidators have been holding this liquidation together with chewing gum and sticky tape, they have not had the money to pay rents, and this is a seminal and important moment in the liquidation which will see benefits passed down to not only creditors, but also to growers and investors in relation to a transaction where I think the growers who are represented on the committee of inspections, as

1.

1	Your Honour will have seen from the affidavit, were
2	fair enough to acknowledge that the ultimate sale price
3	is really a reasonable price in the circumstances and,
4	Your Honour, if it's of any assistance, I was at that
5	meeting. I think that in the post global financial
6	crisis period the price obtained is a good price, and
7	therefore all that's sought from Your Honour is a
8	direction in relation to both the entering into the
9	agreement and the payment to the Commonwealth Bank, as
10	well as the additional relief under section 477(2)B,
11	approving the transaction because it extends beyond
12	three months.
13	If Your Honour pleases, those are my submissions.
14	HIS HONOUR: Just before you get too carried away with your
15	eloquence, what does the word "justify" entail?
16	MR ZWIER: Your Honour, I think the word "justified" is a
17	word which Justice Robson, the very first Timbercorp
18	application, told us he liked and requested us to
19	insert into his very first order. I have a copy of
20	that order which His Honour Justice Robson made. It
21	was he who I think requested this in the context of
22	Timbercorp, to insert the word "justified" in relation
23	to the direction that we were then seeking, as being
24	the appropriate form of relief that the court should
25	grant.
26	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
27	MR ZWIER: It was made in relation to 447D, being the
28	directions power of an administrator, at a time when
29	the current liquidators were then voluntary
30	administrators.
31	HIS HONOUR: Yes. Are you going to come back to answering
	.VTS CN:PN 30/9/09 31 DISCUSSION Timbercorp

- my question?
- 2 MR ZWIER: I understand it means that in essence it's proper,
- and there are good grounds for the liquidators entering
- 4 into the agreement which has the effect of terminating
- 5 those rights.
- 6 HIS HONOUR: And let's assume that it means that, what is
- 7 the basis upon which you say they are justified in
- 8 taking away the rights of other people?
- 9 MR ZWIER: Well, first, Your Honour, they have been granted
- the power to do so under the constitution. So they
- 11 have the power to take away those rights under the
- 12 constitution. And Your Honour will appreciate that
- 13 that power was given to them by direction of
- 14 Justice Finkelstein.
- 15 HIS HONOUR: Well, it might be desirable to go to those
- powers one at a time, or these points one at a time.
- 17 MR ZWIER: Yes, Your Honour, it's referred to in the
- 18 affidavit of Mark Korda.
- 19 HIS HONOUR: Yes. Presumably there's a constitution
- 20 somewhere in the exhibits?
- 21 MR ZWIER: The amendment to the constitution was in fact -
- it formed part of the order. If I could take Your
- 23 Honour to Exhibit MAK9.
- 24 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 25 MR ZWIER: So Your Honour will see that again
- 26 Justice Finkelstein used the expression "justified" in
- 27 procuring the amendments, and then Your Honour will see
- that with the seal of the court is the actual deed poll
- 29 which was subsequently executed by which that variation
- 30 to the constitution was made.
- 31 HIS HONOUR: Where's the power?

- MR ZWIER: If you go to the deed, which is Schedule 2, 1 there's a deed poll, Your Honour. 2 HIS HONOUR: Yes. 3 MR ZWIER: If I could take you through the recitals. Yes, just where is the power? HIS HONOUR: 5 MR ZWIER: It's paragraph 2, which is an amendment to 6 paragraph 11F of the constitution, by the insertion of 7 a new FA, "to assign, terminate, surrender or otherwise 8 deal with any sublease." And, Your Honour, Exhibit 9 MAK10 records the lodgement of the variation to the 10 constitution with the Australian Securities and 11 Investment Commission. 12 Now, my understanding from those who practice in 13 this area is it that it doesn't take effect until 14 lodged with the Australian Securities and Investment 15 Commission. Your Honour will see in Exhibit MAK10 the 16 actual executed deed polls are there included in the 17 form of the order made by Justice Finkelstein. 18 All right. So the first reason why you say 19 it's justified is because you have got the power to 20 terminate. 21 Secondly, Your Honour, the MR ZWIER: Yes, Your Honour. 22 transaction, according to the liquidators, is that it's 23
- number of months which has produced a satisfactory
 reasonable return for the stakeholders. Your Honour
 has seen the material, and Your Honour has had the
 benefit of the comparison between the various bidders
 which I think Your Honour will see is contained in
 Exhibit MAK12.

25

in the best interests of all the stakeholders, that is,

there has been a robust sale process conducted over a

1	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
2	MR ZWIER: If I could just, without disclosing the contents
3	of that document, but the purchaser is described as
4	purchaser C, and Your Honour will see under "Funding
5	status" on the left-hand side there's a reference to
6	"other bidders". And when Your Honour looks on the
7	other side of the document, Your Honour will appreciate
8	that insofar as that critical issue of funding status
9	is concerned, the bid that's presently being dealt with
.0	is far superior on that criteria than anything else.
.1	And in part that's why Mr Korda has deposed to the fact
.2	that even if \$25 million were to be lost to the
.3	growers, he would still recommend this transaction to
4	them.
.5	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
.6	MR ZWIER: Your Honour, Mr Korda, the third reason, is an
.7	experienced liquidator who has dealt with numerous
.8	large liquidations and transactions, and Your Honour
9	should be comforted by the fact that he deposes in the
20	material that he recommends this transaction. So that
21	commercially, which is not the role of the court, the
22	court shouldn't make commercial decisions, he is saying
23	as a liquidator he commercially believes this is the
24	best result for the stakeholders.
25	Fourthly, Your Honour has heard about the tsunami
6	effect that will arise if this transaction is lost;
27	that is, it may fall into a complete dispute between
8	landholders, tenants, growers, secured creditors,
29	disputes between secured creditors, different

is it attractive, but it's also very attractive because

it avoids the catastrophic things that might otherwise flow.

Your Honour has also heard that if Your Honour makes this direction today, and approves this transaction today, that all of the landlords will have their rents paid up until 2 November. And, Your Honour, whilst a number of the landlords are well-powered and positioned corporations, there are about 50 farmers who are dependent upon income from the land which they have leased. And so Your Honour will see that one of the benefits of this transaction is even if there is no completion, the farmers will be paid their unpaid rents until 2 November.

Your Honour will also appreciate that insofar as the secured creditor is concerned it holds the security, and the transaction cannot be consummated And so whilst Mr Korda unless free title is passed. has attempted to try and have the secured creditor limit the claim against the forestry assets, his attempts have failed, and as I have said in fairness to the Commonwealth Bank, they have never resiled from Their position has simply their position throughout. been that unless they are paid out in full they will not release or sign the securities. And, Your Honour, if I were acting for a bank I would probably do no different.

Your Honour, the other reason that the liquidators would seek the direction is they have involved growers all the way through this process and kept them fully informed. They have kept not only the grower group representatives informed, but they have

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1	had a website keeping all interested parties fully
2	informed. In addition, Your Honour, the Australian
3	Securities and Investment Commission has been served
4	with the process, and it has not come to court seeking
5	to oppose this application, or to draw any act, matter,
6	fact or thing to Your Honour's attention as being
7	relevant.
8	So, Your Honour
9	HIS HONOUR: What evidence do I have - apart from the
10	physical absence of ASIC, where do I have evidence of
11	that? I thought there was some communication from ASIC
12	about something.
13	MR ZWIER: I think the last paragraph of Mark Korda's
14	affidavit sworn last night dealt with the parties who
15	had been given notice of this application.
16	HIS HONOUR: There are no parties to the application.
17	MR ZWIER: Paragraph 49.
18	HIS HONOUR: I see, yes, ASIC.
19	MR ZWIER: And, Your Honour, the court will be aware from
20	other applications, or may be aware, that the
21	Australian Securities and Investment Commission has
22	regularly attended court. In relation to the
23	application before Justice Finkelstein, I did receive
24	an email from ASIC where they said that their position
25	I think was neutral. I didn't receive such a
26	communication from ASIC other than an acknowledgment of
27	receipt of the - perhaps it was in relation to the
28	other application, I should withdraw that.
29	HIS HONOUR: In relation to the application that you had
30	before me last Friday, there was some communication
31	from ASIC, I think supplied by you, that they were not

1	going to participate because their view in that
2	proceeding was that the interests that they would
3	otherwise be protected were adequately protected by
4	people who were actual parties. There's no comparable
5	position here.
6	MR ZWIER: No, Your Honour. Could I just no more than tell
7	Your Honour that the materials were served upon ASIC.
8	We were directed to serve them upon one of its
9	solicitors, and we have done so, as well as another who
10	was unavailable. And, Your Honour, I have no doubt
11	that if ASIC had concerns they would be here, and they
12	would be voicing those concerns to Your Honour.
13	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
14	MR ZWIER: So Your Honour should also take comfort from the
15	fact that ASIC has not objected to the directions that
16	are being sought, although in fairness, Your Honour, I
17	have not foreshadowed to ASIC the proposed amendment in
18	relation to approval under section 477(2)B. But, Your
19	Honour, I would say that there is no substantive
20	difference between what we are seeking by way of
21	direction under section 511, and relief under section
22	477(2)B.
23	Your Honour, unless I can be of any further
24	assistance, those are my submissions.
25	HIS HONOUR: Just one final matter, Mr Zwier, the urgency;
26	why today?
27	MR ZWIER: Well, I could tell Your Honour it's Jim Smith's
28	birthday and he is the American out here managing the
29	process, but that wouldn't be helpful.
30	HIS HONOUR: That's likely to have the matter adjourned for
31	quite some time, though, isn't it?

1	MR ZWIER:	No,	no,	but,	Your	Honour,	the	reason	for	today	is
---	-----------	-----	-----	------	------	---------	-----	--------	-----	-------	----

- the \$15 million payment is absolutely critical to get
- 3 to landlords to preserve the properties. And the
- 4 minute the court makes the direction, it paves the way
- 5 now for signature, it paves the way for the payment of
- 6 the cash to the landlords to help protect the
- 7 properties to enable the transaction to be completed to
- 8 maximise a return to growers.
- 9 HIS HONOUR: And why today?
- 10 MR ZWIER: I am reminded by those handling the sale
- transaction from Corrs, Mr Stragalinos, that the next
- major quarter rent payment falls due tomorrow, and
- therefore there's been a real push to have the
- 14 transaction completed by today to provide comfort in
- relation to the next quarter of rent that falls due for
- 16 payment.
- 17 HIS HONOUR: And what if nothing happens today?
- 18 MR ZWIER: Well, I'm sorry, it's due today, not tomorrow.
- 19 HIS HONOUR: Yes. And what happens if it's not paid
- 20 today?
- 21 MR ZWIER: Well, there's always a risk of fragmentation, Your
- 22 Honour. If we don't start paying the rents, some
- 23 landlords might opportunistically, like PLL, seek to
- 24 serve notices of default, seek to move into possession,
- 25 and thereby indirectly - -
- 26 HIS HONOUR: Where does Mr Korda deal with this in his
- 27 affidavit?
- 28 MR ZWIER: I will just have a look at Mr Korda's affidavit.
- I think in paragraph 44 he deals with rental payments
- 30 becoming due on 30 September. And he points out in
- 31 paragraph 45 that it's hopelessly insolvent, unable to

1 make the payments.

HIS HONOUR: Yes.

3

4

5

6

Я

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

MR ZWIER: So in that paragraph he sets out some of the But it's true the neutrality that he has background. managed this process, and I should explain that to Your What Mr Korda did at the outset of this Honour too. liquidation was go to the court and seek a direction as to whether or not by taking a neutral position he was incurring as an expense of the liquidation a lease If he had been incurring a lease expense, which would have ranked to a priority under section 556(1)A or subsection DD, he would have had to disclaim all the But what he did was he took a position of leases. neutrality saying "I am not going using or occupying the land, and thereby I am not incurring an expense."

However, when Mr Korda moved from neutrality to engaging in a sale process, I think it's unarguable that he has a problem with use and occupancy for the period of time where he is purporting to deal with that land. And that's what he is purporting to do.

The best protection Mr Korda can get is to make sure that the rents are paid for the sale process period, and that's why those paragraphs were inverted there, Your Honour. He needs to get this payment through, otherwise there's a risk he has incurred a cost and expense in liquidation ranking to a priority under 556(1)A or DD which he otherwise can't meet.

So, Your Honour, it's time critical to get the direction from the court. I appreciate it's a complicated matter, and it's difficult to absorb all the material, but time is of the essence.

1	HIS HONOUR: On this particular issue, Mr Zwier, the point
2	is that there's not much material at all. That is to
3	say, on the criticality of the date of 30 September,
4	beyond the fact that in paragraph 44 I am told some
5	money is due today, I am not told anything about
6	dramatic consequences that may or may not follow.
7	MR ZWIER: Your Honour, that's a perfectly fair point, and if
8	it's an omission it's because those who have been
9	working day and night on the contract, in the
10	preparation of material, did overlook it. If Your
11	Honour would be assisted by calling Mr Korda so he
12	could explain some of it, I would seek Your Honour's
13	leave to do so.
14	HIS HONOUR: It's not for me. I am just anticipating, I
15	think, what Mr Bigmore may end up saying, and it's he
16	that may be saying that you haven't established enough
17	to warrant such a draconian step with tsunami effects
18	for his clients if the matter is done today rather than
19	tomorrow or the day after.
20	MR ZWIER: Well, I will wait to hear what Mr Bigmore says
21	about that.
22	Your Honour, I can do no more than say that this
23	administration has been held together with chewing gum
24	and sticky tape. As Your Honour will appreciate, it's
25	reaching a climax around these days, and Mr Korda has
26	come to the court in accordance with authorities
27	seeking advice, assistance and direction. He has
28	explained the difficulties he has encountered, I can do
29	no more than say that, Your Honour.
30	HIS HONOUR: All right. Well, Mr Bigmore, what do you
31	want to say?

1.	MR BIGMORE: Your Honour, I cannot say very much about
2	whether Your Honour should tell Mr Korda that he is
3	justified in entering into an agreement that I haven't
4	seen.
5	HIS HONOUR: But you know something about - you have seen
6	his affidavit, I take it?
7	MR BIGMORE: I have seen the affidavit. We don't have
8	Exhibit 14, which is the sale and purchase deed that
9	apparently is what is contemplated being executed, but
10	we wonder why it is so confidential that Mr Fernon and
11	I can't look at it. But we are not allowed to, and
12	that's that. Your Honour has it, and to the extent
13	that Your Honour sees no trouble with it, well of
14	course Your Honour may well indicate to Mr Korda that
15	he is justified in signing it.
16	That's not really our problem, because if it
17	contains the sorts of figures that we have mentioned to
18	Your Honour this morning, then the growers are content
19	that \$197.7 million represents a fair price for the
20	surrender of their rights. The problem is paragraph
21	2, which Mr Zwier candidly admitted ASIC has not had ar
22	opportunity to comment on, nor have we, apart from the
23	fact that we saw the affidavit late yesterday. It
24	seems that the \$197.7 million is subject to two
25	incursions: the first incursion I mention, which is
26	the fact that there's \$22 million, apparently, that may
27	go to Plantation Land as a windfall if relief against
28	forfeiture is not granted in respect of the property
29	that they lease into these schemes. The second amount
30	of money is the \$25 million that the Commonwealth Bank

31

insists it receive effectively from growers' money.

As I understand the growers' position, they would prefer that not to occur. They are not in a position to, certainly we are not in a position to advise them as to whether it is a good deal or not. We don't know whether taking an assignment of the Commonwealth Bank's securities is something that we ought to do commercially, let alone how that would be effected We don't have any detailed agreement for the assignment of those securities that we could consider, nor do we in fact know what those securities are. There may be numerous documents, as Mr Korda admitted, which relate to the cross-collateralisation of various liabilities, not just the \$89 million that was lent into the forestry schemes.

So to advise the forestry growers, the members of all those schemes, that that would be a commercially desirable outcome is impossible, and again just intuitively it seems to be highly undesirable because we would be giving up something that we say we have a right to. Now, we have a right, subject to Plantation Land's termination of its lease, we have a right to go and take the timber. That right endures, and the growers believe that the \$197 million represents a fair return as an alternative to actually going and taking the timber.

In relation to more recent schemes, of course, the timber would need to grow a bit before anyone would be bothered taking it, and that of course relates to the question of tenure. Again we think we have rights in relation to tenure.

We don't see that the Commonwealth Bank has any

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

pre-emptive right in relation to that. We sit in a
position where Mr Korda has the power to terminate ou
leasehold interests, and therefore our rights in
relation to the timber, but he ought not to exercise
that power in a situation where what's happening is yo
have two powers against each other; you have the
Commonwealth Bank saying "We won't discharge our
security unless we get collaterally secured money", as
Mr Korda should be saying "We won't release the
growers' rights unless they get an appropriate return
Now, all that's happened is that Mr Korda says
Your Honour "The whole \$25 million should go to the

Now, all that's happened is that Mr Korda says to Your Honour "The whole \$25 million should go to the Commonwealth Bank and the growers effectively give up the point." There doesn't appear to be any commercial negotiations between growers and bank involved in that process.

Again, Mr Korda says in his affidavit that he believes that it's still in the best interests of growers on the basis that they may not get as much as \$197 million less \$25 million, and presumably also the \$22 million for Plantation Land if it turns out badly in that regard. But we don't know that that's right.

HIS HONOUR: No, but if there's a competition of rights as between the growers and the bank in respect of the \$25 million, the order that is sought would not have the effect of authorising or sanctioning or preventing you from arguing that there's been a preference.

MR BIGMORE: It wouldn't, Your Honour, no, I agree, with respect. But what it does foreclose is the ability of the growers to say that they would rather have their existing rights than forego \$25 million on a security

```
over Olive Land.

HIS HONOUR: Well, this really is why I asked the question about "justified".
```

- 4 MR BIGMORE: Indeed, Your Honour.
- 5 HIS HONOUR: Because although - -
- 6 MR BIGMORE: With respect, our learned friend is correct,
- 7 Justice Robson has been deploying the word in a number
- 8 of orders.
- 9 HIS HONOUR: Yes, and it may be that they were all 10 perfectly appropriate in those other orders.
- 11 MR BIGMORE: Yes. But in this case it's really a commercial
- justification that Mr Korda is seeking, not a legal -
- there's no legal controversy to quell. The
- 14 competition between the bank and the growers is not a
- legal competition, because the growers don't have any
- rights in respect of the land, the freehold land, the
- bank doesn't have any rights in respect of the scheme
- property. The two are mutually exclusive. It's a
- 19 question of putting a commercial judgment to work and
- 20 deciding how to allocate the amounts.
- Now, in this case the purchaser has done so,
- 22 apparently.
- 23 HIS HONOUR: But if one were to substitute for the word
- "justified" some word such as "reasonably and
- 25 appropriately" which picks up the words of Justice
- 26 Goldberg in the Ansett case, then the comfort such as
- it is that the liquidator can get under the power is
- 28 conferred upon the liquidator, and your position
- 29 vis-a-vis the Commonwealth Bank is maintained; that is
- 30 to say, if they are not entitled to the money as
- against you, then you can make your claim.

1	MR BIGMORE: They will be entitled to the money against us if
2	our rights are terminated or surrendered. And that's
3	what Mr Korda is doing in respect of our rights. He
4	is seeking the approval of the court to determine -
5	the only controversy is whether our right should be
6	terminated for this amount of money.
7	HIS HONOUR: That's the first order.
8	MR BIGMORE: It's really both orders, because he is saying in
9	relation to the first order \$197 million, and we say
10	that's not so bad. In relation to the second order he
11	says "Well, we will discount that by another 25" - or
12	25 plus 22, I am not sure how that works - "in relation
13	to the amount that we would ultimately receive." And
14	he is saying that that's commercially justifiable, and
15	he asks Your Honour to rubber stamp it.
16	HIS HONOUR: But the rubber stamping would be subject to
17	any entitlement that you might have to say that the
18	Commonwealth Bank ought not to have received a
19	preference above you.
20	MR BIGMORE: The entitlement that we would - that an
21	individual grower may seek to say is that you should
22	not have terminated or surrendered my right in relation
23	to my timber and my occupancy rights in these
24	circumstances because you didn't get enough money for
25	me. So imagine one of the sub-tenants whose land is
26	on land originally leased by Plantation Land, which has
27	terminated the intermediate lease. In that case the
28	sub-tenant might be left with a right to apply under
29	the Property Law Act for relief against forfeiture, but
30	find that his rights have been terminated or
31	surrendered by Mr Korda pursuant to these agreements

- that we haven't seen. In that situation, the tenant
- 2 may well say to Mr Korda "You shouldn't have done it."
- 3 Mr Korda will say "But I did it in accordance with the
- 4 court's approval", and it would be very difficult for
- 5 that person to take his complaint much further.
- 6 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 7 MR BIGMORE: That's the nature of the problem that we
- 8 foresee. We can only foresee it in broad in
- generalised terms, we can't address Your Honour
- 10 specifically without access to Exhibit 14, and access
- 11 to the Commonwealth Bank securities and the proposed
- deed of assignment that's to be granted.
- 13 When don't see (a) why we should be denied access
- to these documents, and I just mean Mr Fernon and
- myself, nor do we see why we can't have a couple of
- days in which to look at them and respond.
- 17 HIS HONOUR: Well, I am not aware, because you haven't put
- in material, of you having sought access to the
- 19 documents on that limited basis, and you having been
- 20 denied it.
- 21 MR BIGMORE: No, there's no material, but I can tell Your
- 22 Honour that I have asked for it and I have been refused
- 23 it.
- 24 HIS HONOUR: Well, now, Mr Bigmore, as you doubtlessly
- 25 know, if you wish to lead evidence there's a process,
- and the process that's not allowed is you telling me
- 27 from the Bar table.
- 28 MR BIGMORE: Well, Your Honour, I call for the exhibit.
- 29 HIS HONOUR: You call for what exhibit?
- 30 MR BIGMORE: Exhibit 14.
- 31 HIS HONOUR: Now, I can deal with the call for an exhibit,

1	and that, however, won't amount to the proposition that
2	you were putting to me of some previous request which
3	had been denied.
4	MR BIGMORE: The exhibit came into existence this morning,
5	this morning. I didn't see the affidavit until I got
6	to court.
7	HIS HONOUR: But we are not talking about it as an exhibit,
8	are we? We are talking about the thing which is being
9	exhibited; that's your complaint.
10	MR BIGMORE: Yes. I don't know when it came into existence,
11	I haven't seen - of course all I know is that it became
12	an exhibit this morning.
13	HIS HONOUR: Well, Mr Bigmore
14	MR BIGMORE: But I call for it.
15	HIS HONOUR: You are going back to you giving evidence from
16	the Bar table. Well, Mr Zwier, what do you want me to
17	do about the call?
18	MR ZWIER: Your Honour, the difficulty is that we would need
19	to get the consent of the proposed purchaser to let a
20	third party look at it. The second difficulty we have
21	with Mr Fernon is he wears different hats. He has
22	represented, as I understand it, some of the parties
23	interested in acquiring it, and it's vital that the
24	terms and conditions of that contract not be circulated
25	to those parties.
26	If Your Honour thought it would assist us to make
27	it available we will do it, Your Honour, but the
28	liquidators' focus is purely on getting the direction
29	as soon as they can. My only concern, Your Honour, is
30	that if you show any lawyer a legal document, they will
31	pick at it, look at it, and express views on it

1	forever. And if you show two lawyers you can double
2	forever, which I understand Your Honour will say is not
3	possible.
4	HIS HONOUR: Mr Zwier, attractive though that submission
5	may be, and intuitive as it may sound, if one were to
6	adopt that submission in any case then there would be
7	no lawyers appearing before this court ever again. So
8	we can dismiss that one as being unhelpful.
9	MR ZWIER: Your Honour, the issue is obtaining the consent of
10	the purchaser. It's a confidential document, and the
1.1	second issue is Mr Fernon's different hats.
12	It may be that confining it to Mr Bigmore and no
13	one else it may be convenient.
14	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
15	MR ZWIER: But the critical issues for the direction are the
16	extinguishment of the rights for valuable
17	consideration. And Mr Bigmore knows that. I mean,
18	the real world is there is a lump of money, he knows
19	what the lump of money is. It's the extinguishment of
20	the right. There's an issue with the CBA. And, Your
21	Honour, the mechanism to repay it bringing it back to
22	the real world is so that the growers won't be out of
23	pocket. So in the real world what Mr Korda has done
24	is he is getting Mr Bigmore his \$197 million. And
25	what Mr Bigmore says is "If we get the \$197 million we
26	are happy."
27	So, Your Honour, in the absence of consent, I
28	can't consent to making it available to Mr Bigmore.
29	If Your Honour is inclined to direct us to do so, I
30	would urge Your Honour to do for Mr Bigmore only.
31	But, Your Honour, my principal submission is that the

2	extinguishment, conditions precedent, have been spelled
3	out in chapter and verse in the affidavit, and there is
4	really no utility to make the confidential terms of the
5	bargain otherwise available to anyone else.
6	In due course, Your Honour, in due course to
7	provide comfort to every party, of course the contract
8	will have to be made available to everyone when it's
9	signed, because it will form part of the order. So it
10	will be readily available once the order is made,
11	whatever confidence about it will vanish the moment
12	Your Honour makes the order. And then if Mr Bigmore
13	wishes to make complaint about it, or give advice about
14	how he thinks it could have been done better, then of
15	course he can do so.
16	HIS HONOUR: Yes, thank you, Mr Zwier.
17	MR ZWIER: Your Honour, could I correct one thing?
18	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
19	MR ZWIER: Those who were acting in the transactions, there
20	are parts of that deed that are highly sensitive
21	commercial aspects. What I indicated to Your Honour
22	was ultimately the document will be publicly available
23	What they say to me is that they would like to redact
24	from it certain very confidential details about the
25	nature of the business and so forth, but not the key
26	issues which Your Honour has had to deal with today.
27	HIS HONOUR: Well, I have been, as you may have gather by
28	the silence, I have been looking at the document to see
29	whether there is anything in it that would prevent my
30	showing it to Mr Bigmore for a short period of time,
31	and as at this moment I have not seen anything of that

key issues about that contract, being consideration,

1

DISCUSSION

1	character. On the other hand, with the exception of
2	one or two generally theoretical points, I haven't seen
3	anything that Mr Bigmore is likely to be able to make
4	any submissions about.
5	But it's conceivable that when I get further in
6	that some of the confidential stuff that you want to
7	take out is the very material that might conceivably be
8	useful for Mr Bigmore to see. I don't know, because I
9	haven't got there yet. You will just have to wait.
10	MR BIGMORE: I have been thinking as I go, Your Honour, and I
11	wonder about this
12	HIS HONOUR: It's always a good start.
13	MR BIGMORE: If the proposed agreement does not effect an
14	immediate termination or surrender of growers' rights
15	but simply comprises a promise to terminate or
16	surrender, then our rights are not immediately taken
17	away. That would then leave us with the order in
18	relation to the assignment of the Commonwealth Bank
19	securities. One of the other things of course we
20	would want to look at would be what those securities
21	are, and whether we can say to the growers that there's
22	some utility in either agreeing to this, or there might
23	be some argument as to why it shouldn't be agreed to
24	and so on.
25	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
26	MR BIGMORE: But there's nothing emerged which suggests that
27	order number 2 in the revised proposed orders is
28	immediately necessary today. If the agreement is
29	worded on the basis that it will only be settled if the
30	Commonwealth Bank gets all its money, if the growers'
31	rights are surrendered, then all it is at the moment is

1	to promise to do something in the future with the
2	purchaser promising to do something as well. If the
3	question can remain for a couple of days as to whether
4	or not the growers should make their \$25 million
5	available to the Commonwealth Bank, then we can deal
6	with that in a more leisurely fashion, and the
7	liquidator can get the direction that he asked for last
8	night without the second order that he's thought of
9	this morning.
10	HIS HONOUR: Now, do you mind putting that in the form of
11	what it is that you are asking me to do?
12	MR BIGMORE: If Your Honour looks at the document, and I
13	appreciate I am effectively requesting legal advice on
14	a document that I haven't seen. But if Your Honour
15	looks at the document and finds that growers' rights
16	would not be immediately terminated or surrendered
17	today, or within the next couple of days, then we still
18	have rights to protect, and we still have the
19	opportunity to address the making of the second order,
20	which is the diversion of the forestry scheme members'
21	\$25 million to the Commonwealth Bank in exchange for
22	something we haven't seen, which comprises a right
23	against other land
24	HIS HONOUR: I think you should assume on the basis of the
25	affidavit that you have seen, that entering into the
26	contract would probably extinguish your rights pursuant
27	to the amended constitution which sought for a contract
28	to do just that.
29	MR BIGMORE: Yes. Which leaves us in a position where if
30	our rights are immediately extinguished upon the
31	signing of this document with the approval of the

1	court, then we have no rights to bargain with, can our
2	opportunity to address Your Honour on the question of
3	whether or not the liquidators are justified in paying
4	the Commonwealth Bank with some of the proceeds, \$25
5	million of the proceeds which represent the growers'
6	rights, be permitted, or condoned by the court?
7	One of the things that's curious about this
8	application, Your Honour, is that Mr Korda has said,
9	with the agreement to the extent that it can be
10	obtained in a plebiscite of the growers, a price which
11	represents a fair return for their interests. That
12	price is \$197 million odd. He then says that
13	notwithstanding that, if instead of the growers getting
14	that money immediately they end up with something else,
15	something less by \$25 million, or maybe more, depending
16	on the Plantation Land situation, they are still - it
17	is still a fair return for growers. But it can't be
18	both ways. Either our rights are worth \$197 million
19	or they are worth something else, and he is telling
20	Your Honour that they are worth \$197 million, but he is
21	saying in the same breath, well, we shouldn't get that,
22	we get something else that we haven't got the
23	opportunity to consider.
24	HIS HONOUR: Thank you. Mr Zwier, you have indicated that
25	your client might wish to redact some parts of this.
26	If you just confine yourself to the contents which are
27	the first few pages, there doesn't seem to be anything
28	in the first
29	MR ZWIER: Your Honour, I will seek instructions about that.

31

the first few pages today - - -

But if it's for the purpose of only showing Mr Bigmore

- No, no, I am not suggesting only the first few 1 HIS HONOUR: pages, I am asking you to have a look at the first few 2 pages, because the first few pages are contents. 3 showing him the first few pages will be of no value All I am saying to you about the first 5 few pages is that the first few pages seem to summarise 6 the bulk of the contract and in my perusing of the contract there didn't seem to be anything startling in 8 that that one couldn't share with Mr Bigmore on a 9 confidential basis. 10
- Then one goes to the schedules which begin (v), 11 and that's in the great vast bulk of the pieces of 12 paper that I have got in front of me. Going through 13 the table of contents, at the moment running through 14 that list nothing leaps out of the page as being of its 15 nature especially difficult, except possibly page 53, 16 but I am not sure. So what is it that you wanted to 17 redact? 18
- 19 MR ZWIER: Your Honour, the solicitors from Corrs are more
 20 familiar with the contract, if I could just confer with
 21 them for a moment.
- 22 HIS HONOUR: Of course.
- MR ZWIER: Your Honour, there are some conditions in this
 that require, I will talk a little cryptically, that
 require third parties' consents.
- 26 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 27 MR ZWIER: And some of those third parties are in
 28 negotiations with us. Those third parties may not
 29 appreciate the relative importance or unimportance of
 30 those negotiations now.

31 HIS HONOUR: Yes.

- 1 MR ZWIER: If that were disclosed, that would be prejudicial.
- 2 So take, for example - -
- 3 HIS HONOUR: But we are not talking about disclosing, we
- are talking about a limited ability for a member of the
- 5 inner Bar to have a peak at the documents upon his
- 6 undertaking that he won't breathe a word of it to
- anybody, and limit his knowledge of it only to assist
- 8 me in reaching my decision.
- 9 MR ZWIER: Not a problem, Your Honour. I misunderstood you.
- No problems, Mr Bigmore, all yours.
- 11 MR BIGMORE: If I might have a moment, Your Honour?
- 12 HIS HONOUR: Yes, but not very long.
- 13 MR ZWIER: Your Honour, Mr Bigmore has raised an issue with
- 14 me which if I could just deal with it perhaps on the
- transcript, it might resolve the issues between us.
- 16 Just in terms of timing.
- 17 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 18 MR ZWIER: The proposal is to complete the transaction on 2
- 19 November.
- 20 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 21 MR ZWIER: The proposal is that the rights will not be
- extinguished without the cash, so we extinguish the
- 23 rights at the time of settlement. In the meantime, we
- are applying to the court to resolve the PLL relief
- from forfeiture application before settlement. Your
- 26 Honour might recall me saying to Your Honour on Friday
- that it was critical that the court heard the relief
- from forfeiture application before settlement of the
- 29 contract.
- 30 HIS HONOUR: I do.
- 31 MR ZWIER: And therefore it's the liquidators' intention, and

1	the growers may join the liquidators in that
2	application, to have the relief application resolved
3	before Justice Judd on or about 14 or 15 October to be
4	set down so that that issue can be sorted out before
5	there's any extinguishment of any rights.
6	HIS HONOUR: Well, except that, as I understand it, once
7	the contract is entered into rights will have been
8	created, and potentially rights will have been
9	extinguished. So that the entering into the contract
10	is a critical event. I don't know that you are
11	proposing not to enter into the contract before 15
12	October.
13	MR ZWIER: If Your Honour made the direction today that the
14	parties will execute today
15	HIS HONOUR: Exactly.
16	MR ZWIER: To get the money through.
17	HIS HONOUR: Exactly.
18	MR ZWIER: But the money that comes through is non-refundable
19	in a sense it will go through to the landlords. It
20	will not be until settlement that the rights are going
21	to be extinguished by the liquidator, because for any
22	number of reasons settlement mightn't occur. If the
23	purchaser defaults in its obligations which there's no
24	reason for the liquidators to think that's even a
25	possibility, it's a well capitalised entity, it's a
26	global player, it's well funded, but if for example
27	they didn't settle, they would never terminate the
28	rights. And the way it works in the contract is it is
29	an obligation to be provided on or before the
30	completion date, and Mr Bigmore I think would be
31	comforted by me saying that the liquidators won't

1	extinguish the rights until shortly before or on
2	completion.
3	HIS HONOUR: All right. Well, Mr Bigmore, are you
4	comforted?
5	MR BIGMORE: It certainly improves the situation enormously,
6	Your Honour, because we are concerned that the
7	liquidators' application for relief against forfeiture
8	may not succeed. We as growers are contemplating how
9	we might improve the chances of relief against
10	forfeiture being granted because we have rights under
11	the Property Law Act which as sublessees we are
12	entitled to apply, co-ordinating an application of that
13	nature, whether it be as a representative proceeding or
14	a test case is perhaps one of the hurdles that we face
15	But be that as it may, if our rights were extinguished
16	forthwith, those rights that are extinguished would
17	include the right to approach the court for relief
18	against forfeiture, and we would not have standing in
19	the next month or so to approach the court in that
20	regard.
21	Now, I understand I think it's 14 and 15 October
22	have been allocated for the hearing of that
23	application. We are hoping that we can accelerate our
24	activities in order to be there on that occasion with
25	our own application for relief against forfeiture. So
26	long as we have got standing when we got there, that
27	will be all right.
28	HIS HONOUR: Are you able to accelerate your activities to
29	tell me whether you are comforted?
30	MR BIGMORE: We are comfortable to that extent, yes. But we
31	are still not comfortable with the bank's position,

- because we haven't seen the bank securities that we are
- 2 supposed to be inheriting.
- 3 HIS HONOUR: All right. But you have now seen Exhibit
- 4 MAK14.
- 5 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 6 HIS HONOUR: And I assume that you, like me, take the view
- 7 that there is nothing in the document that isn't
- 8 effectively outlined in the affidavit, that bears upon
- 9 my question, that is?
- 10 MR BIGMORE: Your Honour's question is impossible to answer
- because I haven't read the entirety of the document.
- 12 I haven't had time to study it appropriately.
- 13 HIS HONOUR: No.
- 14 MR BIGMORE: So I can't agree to that.
- 15 HIS HONOUR: No, but you can at least tell me that you
- haven't, in what you have seen, seen anything that
- 17 requires a change to the position.
- 18 MR BIGMORE: No, I can say that, Your Honour, certainly.
- 19 HIS HONOUR: And what you could do with me is you could
- look at the table of contents and see what we are told
- in the table of contents one will find, and by
- 22 reference to that, and then the lengthy list of
- schedules, which is on page (v), you will see what I
- 24 assume to be a list of documents many of which you
- 25 already know about.
- 26 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 27 HIS HONOUR: So that of a document numbering several
- hundred pages we can assume that there are critically
- only a few that will need focusing upon if one has to
- 30 make a quick decision.
- 31 MR BIGMORE: Yes. That's so, Your Honour.

- 1 HIS HONOUR: And the critical ones are at the beginning,
- 2 really. So casting our eye together on the agreed
- 3 terms, the interpretation provisions, one can see a
- form of drafting that has become all too common with
- 5 largely information that is of a kind one would expect.
- One focuses upon such defined terms as excluded assets
- because it might conceivably be helpful, or forestry
- assets because it might be conceivably helpful, and
- 9 pass over them. Then one goes to I think we can
- 10 pass over most yes, value allocation on page 11 might
- 11 excite interest, and then one says, "Well, that doesn't
- 12 excite much interest." So one then goes to the
- operative terms, paragraph 2, clause 2, you see the
- 14 deposit?
- 15 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 16 HIS HONOUR: That's to be expected, more or less.
- 17 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 18 HIS HONOUR: Then you have got conditions to completion.
- 19 MR BIGMORE: Condition 3.1(c), Your Honour, is of particular
- 20 importance to us.
- 21 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 22 MR BIGMORE: And there's a definition which goes with that,
- of course.
- 24 HIS HONOUR: Indeed it does, but that's in the affidavit,
- 25 though, isn't it?
- 26 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 27 HIS HONOUR: So we knew about that.
- 28 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 29 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 30 MR BIGMORE: Well - -
- 31 HIS HONOUR: That's what I'd asked you about, remember?

- 1 MR BIGMORE: The timing, we didn't know about the timing.
- 2 HIS HONOUR: No, but we could have assumed the worst
- 3 possible timing, couldn't we, from your point of view?
- 4 MR BIGMORE: I feared the worst possible timing.
- 5 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 6 MR BIGMORE: I am now assured by Mr Zwier, as Your Honour has
- 7 - -
- 8 HIS HONOUR: That it's not so bad.
- 9 MR BIGMORE: That it's the best possible timing.
- 10 HIS HONOUR: Quite. So things are better for you.
- 11 MR BIGMORE: Getting better, yes.
- 12 HIS HONOUR: Yes. Then you have got clauses of a kind
- that you would expect, like reasonable endeavours,
- waivers, et cetera. Clause 4, you would be surprised
- if it wasn't there. And clause 5, you would be
- surprised if it wasn't there. Then you have got
- clause 6 that requires you to pause for a moment or
- two, and that's unsurprising. 7 is unsurprising.
- 19 And clause 8 requires a moment of digestion, but that
- is consistent with what we have been told in the
- 21 affidavit.
- 22 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 23 HIS HONOUR: Then clause 9 should give you comfort. 10,
- 24 11, 12 look like boilerplate provisions to a large
- 25 extent.
- 26 MR BIGMORE: Yes.
- 27 HIS HONOUR: 13 is unexceptional. As is the rest of it,
- 28 as far as I could see.
- 29 MR BIGMORE: Again, I am not I haven't found the provision
- in relation to the PLL or the PLL leases.
- 31 HIS HONOUR: Yes, I saw that before.

- 1 MR BIGMORE: But I saw that they were defined, and I heard
- what Mr Zwier said earlier as to the provision for it.
- 3 HIS HONOUR: Well, the PLL leases I think may be dealt with
- 4 all by the interpretation provisions, is that not
- 5 right, Mr Zwier?
- 6 MR BIGMORE: Yes, that's so, but I expected to find an
- 7 adjustment to the purchase price in relation to that,
- and I haven't found that yet, Your Honour. I am sure
- 9 it's there.
- 10 HIS HONOUR: Well, you might find is it page 53 that
- 11 might be what you are looking for?
- 12 MR BIGMORE: Perhaps it's in the schedule.
- 13 HIS HONOUR: Well, the numbering is a bit difficult.
- 14 MR BIGMORE: I don't think I need delay Your Honour in
- relation to that, I am sure it's to be found there
- somewhere, but I must say I haven't found it spelt out
- 17 yet.
- 18 HIS HONOUR: All right.
- 19 MR BIGMORE: I accept what Mr Zwier says about that, there
- 20 might be an adjust to the price if Plantation Land gets
- the windfall of the timber.
- 22 HIS HONOUR: Right. All right, well, perhaps if I can
- 23 then ask you whether there's anything else that you -
- 24 -
- 25 MR BIGMORE: No.
- 26 HIS HONOUR: Thank you for that.
- 27 MR BIGMORE: So, Your Honour, I can only make the submission
- in relation to the Commonwealth Bank securities that we
- cannot say that they are of any value to us, let alone
- 30 \$25 million worth, unless and until we see them and
- 31 work out what the securities are that we would be

1	getting.
2	HIS HONOUR: Yes, thank you. Mr Zwier, I presume you have
3	got your exhibit back?
4	MR ZWIER: Yes, Your Honour.
5	HIS HONOUR: I regrettably just need a moment or two to
6	sort out some notes, and I have some difficulties
7	immediately. Is there any overwhelming reason why we
8	can't resume at 4.15?
9	MR ZWIER: No, Your Honour, we would be indebted if you can
10	do that.
11	HIS HONOUR: We will adjourn until 4.15 then.
12	LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

1	UPON RESUMING AT 4.15 PM:
2	MR GALVIN: If Your Honour pleases, I appear with Mr Bigmore,
3	my name is Galvin.
4	HIS HONOUR: I see, thank you.
5	(RULING FOLLOWS)
6	
7	•
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

DISCUSSION

- 1 (FOLLOWING RULING)
- 2 HIS HONOUR: I do not know whether I need to reserve costs,
- 3 Mr Zwier.
- 4 MR ZWIER: Your Honour, there's only one other minor issue.
- 5 As I understand, section 477(2)B, it's relief that is
- directed to the liquidators of each company rather than
- 7 the companies in liquidation. If I could hand up to
- 8 Your Honour a form of the proposed order that we think
- g complies with the Act in relation to section 477(2)B.
- 10 HIS HONOUR: Yes. Have the other counsel seen this?
- 11 MR ZWIER: Yes, they have, Your Honour. And I don't know if
- 12 Your Honour wants me to file the amended originating
- 13 process?
- 14 HIS HONOUR: I do. So what's different?
- 15 MR ZWIER: I thought that the form of the order that I handed
- up spelled out that it was the liquidators of the
- various entities that were going to be granted the
- relief under section 477. Sorry, have I handed up the
- 19 right one?
- 20 HIS HONOUR: Well, the words are different, but it doesn't
- seem to be different in the respect that you are now
- 22 putting.
- 23 MR ZWIER: I am sorry, what I am told is that the form of
- order in the amended originating process is the one
- 25 that I want to hand up to Your Honour. That's the
- 26 correct one, in preparing the draft order - -
- 27 HIS HONOUR: You have given me the originating motion,
- thank you.
- 29 MR ZWIER: That's the amended originating motion.
- 30 HIS HONOUR: Yes, thank you.
- 31 MR ZWIER: And there are additional parties added to it.

- 1 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 2 MR ZWIER: Being the various liquidators.
- 3 HIS HONOUR: Yes, that's why you needed to have the
- 4 originating motion, that's why I wanted it, thank you.
- 5 MR ZWIER: And if you look at the first order that we seek,
- it refers to approving the entry by the various first
- 7 to fifth plaintiffs who are the liquidators of the
- 8 first and sixth to twelfth plaintiffs. Your Honour,
- 9 perhaps the best thing for me to do is to stand the
- 10 matter down to sort this out with my friends. I don't
- think there's any dispute between us that we need to
- get relief. It just appears that from the papers that
- have been prepared there's some mix up between the
- originating motion and the draft orders.
- 15 HIS HONOUR: I am happy enough for you to stand the matter
- down, but just so that you don't have to keep coming
- 17 backwards and forwards, because that's not going to
- happen, that is to say, I am not going to come
- 19 backwards and forwards. The only variations that it
- 20 seems to me you should seek from the orders that you
- 21 put to me this morning are that the word "justified
- 22 "has got to go, and that you should add "other
- individuals" before the word "are". That, as I see
- it, are the only other changes that are consequential
- 25 upon 477(2)B.
- 26 MR ZWIER: Your Honour, I think that we would be content with
- 27 that.
- 28 HIS HONOUR: So if you have got the order that you handed
- 29 me this morning.
- 30 MR ZWIER: Yes, Your Honour, I have got the form of the order
- 31 from this morning.

- 1 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 2 MR ZWIER: We can make those changes and perhaps email them
- 3 to Your Honour's chambers.
- 4 HIS HONOUR: You don't need to recite the basis upon which
- 5 the order has been made, so there's no point relying
- 6 upon the words in the amended originating motion. All
- you need to do is identify who it is that is being
- 8 permitted.
- 9 MR ZWIER: Yes, Your Honour.
- 10 HIS HONOUR: That's all.
- 11 MR ZWIER: Okay.
- 12 HIS HONOUR: Quite simple.
- 13 MR ZWIER: Thank you, Your Honour.
- 14 HIS HONOUR: All right.
- 15 MR ZWIER: If Your Honour pleases.
- 16 HIS HONOUR: Now, I wasn't proposing to order costs at all.
- 17 Who am I reserving costs for and against whom?
- 18 MR ZWIER: Well, I expect that an arrangement has been made
- between the liquidators and the representatives of the
- 20 growers for the liquidators to pay them.
- 21 MR GALVIN: If that's the case, I am not sure I am aware of
- 22 that. But if that's the case we wouldn't have any
- problem with it, of course, we wouldn't ask Your Honour
- to reserve any costs, it wouldn't be necessary. If
- 25 that's the understanding, if our costs are to be
- accommodated by the liquidators, then I don't seek any
- order for reservation or any order for costs.
- 28 HIS HONOUR: If the parties have reached an agreement about
- costs, then that's a matter for them to deal with. If
- 30 they have not reached agreement about costs, I remind
- 31 you that you are not a party.

1.	MR GALVIN: Of course, sir, yes.
2	HIS HONOUR: And I am not sure that I - perhaps I can order
3	costs in your favour, but I think we will just leave
4	costs out of the equation for the moment. If you need
5	to come back to court on the basis that somebody has
6	breached some agreement, you can do so.
7	MR GALVIN: Thank you, Your Honour. I should say that the
8	costs order would be more in the nature of payment of
9	costs out of a common - on a common fund type basis
10	rather than a costs as against a party. But I hear
11	what Your Honour says. If Your Honour pleases.
12	MR ZWIER: Can I just thank Your Honour again for doing this
13	matter so quickly and efficiently today, Your Honour.
14	HIS HONOUR: Always pleased to help, Mr Zwier.
15	Adjourn the court, please.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	