1 COMMERCIAL & EQUITY DIVISION COMMERCIAL LIST - DIRECTIONS HEARING 2 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA 3 COURT 1 OLD HIGH COURT 4 BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE PAGONE 5 FRIDAY 25 SEPTEMBER 2009 6 7 TIMBERCORP LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION) (ACN 055 185 067) & ORS 8 V. 9 PLANTATION LAND LIMITED (ACN 090 443 333) 10 11 MR ENIER: Your Honour, I appear on behalf of the plaintiffs. HIS HONOUR: Mr Enier. 12 13 MR RUBENSTEIN: Your Honour, if it pleases, I appear on behalf 14 to the defendant. 15 HIS HONOUR: Mr Rubenstein. This is a matter that is in Judd 16 J's list, and is only before me because he is not physically in the jurisdiction. What do you want me to do 17 18 today? MR ENIER: Your Honour, the parties have agreed some directions 19 20 which I can hand up to you. 21 HIS HONOUR: Thank you. MR ENIER: Your Honour, before I take you to that I should say 22 23 that in many of the other Timbercorp proceedings the 24 Australian Securities and Investments Commission has 2.5 appeared. 26 HIS HONOUR: Yes. MR ENIER: They sent me an email, I think yesterday, in which 27 28 they have asked that I bring it to the attention of the 29 court explaining why they have no intention of appearing today. If I could just hand that to Your Honour, so I can 30 31 fulfil that obligation.

- 1 HIS HONOUR: Thank you.
- 2 MR ENIER: Your Honour, there is one other party that regularly
- 3 appears on the Timbercorp matters and that is the
- 4 Timbercorp Growers Group, they are represented by
- 5 Clarendon Lawyers, who have also sent a letter which
- I said I would bring to the attention of the court in
- 7 relation to their position.
- 8 HIS HONOUR: Thank you. I see that the ASIC letter refers to
- 9 Robson J, indeed, the blue form that you both filled out
- has List F on it, which is Robson J's list, although the
- 11 actual writ has List B on it?
- 12 MR ENIER: It should be B, Your Honour, the registry allocated
- it to List B, which is Judd J, as I understand it.
- 14 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 15 MR ENIER: We brought to His Honour Robson J's attention,
- because he has dealt with the other projects that this
- 17 proceeding had issued.
- 18 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 19 MR ENIER: I think His Honour was content to leave it in the
- 20 list it had been allocated.
- 21 HIS HONOUR: List F or B?
- 22 MR ENIER: List B. Your Honour, before I get to the actual
- directions of the parties, if I could just give Your
- Honour a little background, so Your Honour will understand
- 25 why we are seeking an urgent trial date, then the
- directions we seek might come off the back of the urgent
- 27 trial date. As Your Honour may be aware the Timbercorp
- Group was in the business of promoting, selling and
- financing managed investment schemes. Those schemes by
- and large were divided between horticultural schemes, like
- 31 olives, almonds, avocados, and forestry projects which

are, obviously, trees. The Timbercorp Group was placed into voluntary administration on 23 April 2009 and the responsible entity, which is Timbercorp Securities Limited was also one of the group placed into voluntary administration.

At the second creditors meeting the creditors voted to wind up all the Timbercorp Group companies, and the winding up resolution was passed on 29 June 2009. Your Honour, the background to this particular application is something like this; the defendant, Plantation Land Limited, leased to the Timbercorp - responsible entity in Timbercorp, in some instances, about 8,000 hectares of land upon which forestry schemes are being conducted. In total there are about 2,650 grower/investors in relation to that parcel of land owned by Plantation Land.

The rent for all forestry land fell due for payment on 30 June 2009, because Timbercorp was unable to pay the rent the rent has not been paid and a further quarter of rent on one view becomes due and payable on 30 September again.

On 21 July 2009, the defendant served a default notice for non-payment of rent, and on 31 July 2009, what the liquidators did, was they advertised all of the forestry assets for sale. So what they have undertaken is an extensive campaign to assign the various leases and to sell the trees which are situate on the land, the subject of the leases. That process has been, as I said, underway since 31 July.

The liquidators have kept landlords of the various parcels of land informed of what is going on, and just to give Your Honour an idea of the scale of that transaction,

2.5

it concerns about 98,000 hectares of land, 10,000 growers have invested in it, and a hundred million trees are situate on that land, although as I said, only eight per cent is subject to this particular proceeding.

When it became apparent that the liquidators were likely to consummate a transaction with a respectable, solvent, reliable purchaser the liquidators tendered payment for the unpaid rent and that was on 11 September and that was rejected. In the meantime, the sale process has continued and bids closed on Friday 18 September 2009, and again, a number of bids were received from parties and it is the liquidator's intention to sign up a sale and purchase agreement, which obviously will have certain conditions to it, next week.

So what they are intending to do is try and complete the transaction with a preferred bidder, sometime during the course of next week. So this proceeding takes on some significance, this proceeding commenced on 11 September in this court. There are South Australian proceedings which were filed a few days later, on 14 September, seeking also relief from forfeiture and there is an application to be heard I think in the South Australian Supreme Court this afternoon, to cross-vest the South Australian proceeding back into this proceeding and the parties have consented for that to occur. So that all of the relief from forfeiture proceedings can be dealt with by one court at the one time.

Your Honour, what the parties had intended to do was to seek an urgent hearing date and the minutes of the proposed orders, which I have handed up, propose that a trial be set down on the first available date after

2.5

- 1 12 October 2009. The parties are obviously in the hands
- of the court, we have talked about how long we think the
- 3 trial might take and I think my proposal I am not sure
- 4 whether my opponent agrees my opponent says one to two
- days, but because of the urgency, for our part we would
- 6 content if it were set down for one day and the court made
- 7 some directions about how long each party could make their
- 8 submissions, to try and dispose of it, because it is very
- 9 important to have it disposed quickly.
- 10 HIS HONOUR: How did this get into List B?
- 11 MR ENIER: I think when the papers were sent up to the
- registry, the registry has allocated it into List B.
- 13 HIS HONOUR: Are there no other court proceedings already in
- 14 this court?
- 15 MR ENIER: In the List B court?
- 16 HIS HONOUR: Or indeed, any court? Any other list in this
- 17 court, the Supreme Court?
- 18 MR ENIER: Yes, there are, there are extant proceedings for
- 19 Robson J, concerning a number of the horticultural
- schemes, olives and almonds. There is an application set
- down before Robson J on 6 October, concerning olives and
- an application to appoint a replacement RE.
- 23 HIS HONOUR: Nothing before Judd J?
- 24 MR ENIER: Nothing before Judd J.
- 25 HIS HONOUR: I see.
- 26 MR ENIER: Whilst Your Honour has asked me that question about
- other proceedings, there will be further proceedings the
- 28 parties will seek to bring urgently before the court
- concerning forestry, almonds and olives, and I will
- 30 explain what they are.
- 31 HIS HONOUR: The reason I ask the question is, I know that Judd

1	J had a job lot of cases, if I can refer to it that way,
2	but I wasn't sure whether it was a Timbercorp job lot or
3	some other proceeding. If the parties are looking for a
4	hearing date, as - in the timeframe that you are looking
5	for and there is no other Timbercorp proceeding before
6	Judd J, I could assume the case in this day, and you've
7	heard discussion earlier on about hearing dates, which
8	would mean that you could come on to be heard before me
9	some time in November. But I don't want to do any of that
10	if Judd J has some other proceedings that would cause a
11	fragmentation of disputes.
12	MR ENIER: Your Honour, if I could just explain some of the
13	other matters that will come on, so Your Honour will
14	understand the ambit of the problem. In addition to
15	selling the forestry assets the liquidators have had the
16	same type of campaign in relation to olives and almonds.
17	The almonds sale has been signed and it has a sunset
18	clause for the fulfilment of a condition precedence, or
19	conditions precedent, of 6 October - 9 October, I am
20	sorry. One of the conditions precedent to that
21	transaction is the court make a direction that the
22	liquidators are justified in entering into the sale
23	agreement and I will explain why; the sale agreement sells
24	land, plant and equipment, irrigation and also cropping
25	rights to the almond groves, and contemplates the
26	extinguishment of the grower's rights.
27	That would occur as part of the one transaction.
28	The extinguishment of the grower's rights in relation to

That would occur as part of the one transaction.

The extinguishment of the grower's rights in relation to almonds is of course controversial because growers are contending, on the one hand that they are entitled to a greater share of the proceeds than the secured creditors,

29

30

the secured creditors maintain that their security would entitle them to all of the money. What ultimately has been occurring has been a negotiation which is going to come quickly to a head and will probably culminate in us making an urgent application to the court on one of two - in relation to one of two possibilities. One possibility is everyone reaches agreement on apportionment, and we would then come to the court seeking a direction that we are justified in entering into the agreement, we would need the direction because the grower group doesn't represent all growers, they just represent some growers, so it would be possible for "a grower" or some growers, to object to the apportionment. So the liquidators would need a direction if it were consensual between the protagonists at the moment.

Alternatively, if agreement cannot be reached with the growers one other possibility is, the secured creditors may come to the liquidators saying, "We are prepared to allocate, out of the sale proceeds, the following amount for the liquidator, of TSL, for the benefit of the growers, then the court would be asked to make a direction that they are justified in allowing that allocation, which would be I suspect, a contested application. But again, given that the sunset date of 9 October is looming, we would be asking the court at some time to urgently give us a trial of that issue, either the consensual application or the contested application, so that the parties can meet the conditions precedent.

Your Honour will appreciate, in relation to almonds, it is a wasting asset. The almond groves need tending immediately, the sale consideration is in excess of \$140

2.5

million, it affects numerous growers, if a transaction falls over there will be significant wastage. So that in the suite of applications in Timbercorp, that is one of them and again, in relation to forestry, Your Honour, the liquidators would be unable to sign the sale agreement to the preferred purchaser on forestry without a direction before they do so, again, that is an application that they would seek to bring before the court, again, sometime next week.

So Your Honour in a sense this application is connected to the forestry application as well, and it may be as well if we could ask the court for the indulgence of a judge, one particular judge, to deal with the suite of applications. Insofar as counsel, the liquidator's position is, they will take whatever date is available. They have already accepted the fact that they just can't be choosers of their counsel, and we will brief whoever we have to brief to appear.

HIS HONOUR: I am sure the rest of the Bar is delighted to hear that. The position about the court is this; Judd J is not available until the week after next, and I am then not available for two weeks. On the other hand I can tell you that I can give you hearing dates if you need them in the early part of November and possibly late October, although that is difficult. If there is something urgent that comes up next week I can certainly deal with it. I don't know what Judd J's timetable is, so if the parties want, then I am happy to transfer the matter to my list, give you a hearing date in November and deal with the matter next week if it arises, if it anything arises on two weeks, then you will have to get somebody else. We will

2.5

- 1 see how we go.
- 2 MR ENIER: Your Honour, the difficulty from the liquidator's
- 3 perspective with the November hearing is this; the sale
- 4 that they wish to consummate in relation to the trees on
- 5 the land -
- 6 HIS HONOUR: Is the 9th October?
- 7 MR ENIER: No, we will be signed up next week with the
- 8 intention that that transaction will be completed by end
- 9 of October. So they need an answer in relation to whether
- or not they can sign the leases, the subject of this
- application, before that date. So that a hearing date
- in November renders the value of these trees to the
- growers as probably being significantly impaired.
- 14 HIS HONOUR: You are asking, I thought, for the trial to be set
- down on the first available date after 12 October?
- 16 MR ENIER: That was in the draft orders, or directions, we are
- seeking, on the assumption that the court may be able to
- accommodate an early hearing date soon thereafter, we were
- 19 going to ask the court at that time, not only to hear it,
- but to try and give us an answer by the end of the month.
- 21 HIS HONOUR: I don't know how this is one of those things one
- discovers in life, I think, that the more one tries to be
- 23 helpful and accommodating, the less one seems to succeed
- in doing so. I have said to you that I can give you time
- next week, I am physically not available the following two
- 26 weeks. I can give you time, not much the following week,
- but towards the end possibly of October. I have not
- faintest idea what Judd J's position is, and Robson J is
- not around also. What would you like me to do.
- 30 MR ENIER: What I would like Your Honour to do if it were
- possible, is to perhaps refer this matter to Judd J, who

1 does have a hearing date on 6 October in relation to olives, for us to make inquiries of both Judd J and Robson 2 J's chambers in the meantime, to see whether we can obtain 3 hearing dates from either of them. I think both the 4 defendant and plaintiff in this case are ready and we want 5 to get this done quickly. Your Honour, make no mistake, 6 7 I appreciate the assistance the court has provided 8 throughout, the difficulty is, it is just such a complex 9 and problematic - - -Mr Enier, if that's what you want and the parties 10 HIS HONOUR: 11 agree, I am happy to do that. MR ENIER: My friend wants me - asks me to qualify with Your 12 13 Honour, whether Your Honour said you had a hearing date in 14 late October. I had thought you said you couldn't take this trial until November? 15 16 HIS HONOUR: I may be able to put you in the week of the 26th, but it would not be easy to do that. 17 18 MR ENIER: Your Honour, the difficulty is, the sale will be complete by October, therefore the sale of the trees on 19 20 this land and the assigning lease would create some 21 insurmountable difficulties for the liquidators. So I think we will make inquiries of another judge, if that 22 is convenient? 23 24 HIS HONOUR: That is perfectly alright by me, I have no 2.5 particular eagerness to take it on, I just thought I would 26 be helpful, bearing in mind that Judd J won't be returning until 5 October and if you are looking for him to deal 27 with the matter on the 6th, it may be difficult. 28 29 MR ENIER: I understand that. We are before Robson J on the 6th, and will make inquiries of the chambers of both those 30

31

judges and then we might be able to find that there is a

- day in which this can be dealt with. It doesn't have too
- 2 many novel propositions, I don't think, and we can keep
- 3 working through the interlocutory steps in the meantime.
- 4 HIS HONOUR: All I can say to you, Mr Enier, is best of luck.
- 5 What would you like me to do?
- 6 MR ENIER: To adjourn to a further hearing of these directions.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: Simply adjourn it?
- 8 MR ENIER: Yes, to 6 October before Robson J, in the meantime
- 9 we will make inquiries at both their chambers to see if we
- 10 can get a judge to hear it.
- 11 HIS HONOUR: The matter is not in fact before Robson J, the
- matter is before Judd J, List B.
- 13 MR ENIER: I understand that, would it be convenient to refer
- 14 the matter to I am told by those who instruct me that
- there are other Timbercorp proceedings before Judd J,
- 16 concerning Timbercorp Finance, and those court proceedings
- are in any event before Judd J on 5 October for directions
- only. So it may be convenient to refer it to Judd J's
- 19 list on 5 October for directions, then we can put the
- 20 matter to Judd J on that day.
- 21 HIS HONOUR: I am happy to do that.
- 22 MR ENIER: If Your Honour pleases.
- 23 HIS HONOUR: I will adjourn the further hearing for directions
- to 5 October, before Judd J.
- 25 MR RUBENSTEIN: If you can reserve costs as well, please, Your
- Honour.
- 27 HIS HONOUR: And I shall reserve costs.
- 28 MR ENIER: Your Honour, in relation to the other urgent matters
- that are brewing, which will come to a head in the next
- 30 24, 48 or 72 hours, would it be convenient Your Honour, if
- 31 we make inquiry at Your Honour's chambers first, for the

- 1 hearing of the directions applications?
- 2 HIS HONOUR: You can do I think whatever you find most
- 3 convenient, I suspect that I may be the only option you
- 4 have next week.
- 5 MR ENIER: We look forward to that, Your Honour. If Your
- 6 Honour pleases.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: Thank you.
- 8 - -